

Housing Revenue Account -Budget Annexes

2017/2018

08 February 2017

Annex	Page
1 HRA Revenue Budget	4
2 Reconciliation of significant changes in year	5
3 Significant savings assumed to date	6
4 HRA Capital	7
5 HRA Reserves	9
6 Sensitivity Analyses	10
 7 Debt & borrowing analysis (a) HRA Debt Cap (b) HRA Borrowing (c) Debt Maturity by years 	11
8 HRA Capital Receipts available for general use available for new build only	12
9 HRA Revenue Budget - detailed breakdowns Analysis of HRA spend Analysis of housing management costs Source of variable spend	13
10 HRA Repairs & Maintenance Budget - further analysis	15
11 Supervision & Management Budget - further analysis	17
12 Special Services Budget - further analysis	18
13 Rent rates & other taxes Budget - further analysis	19
 14 HRA Capital Budget - further analysis (a) New Build (b) Cladding (c) total committed regen spend (d) potential spend - subject to grant/affordability 	20
15 Analyses of staff charged to HRA (capital & revenue)	21
 16 Properties (a) Breakdown of stock at 1 April 2016 (b) Open Market value of stock at 1 April 2016 (c) Average value by range 	22
17 Projected changes in dwelling stock by year(a) overall movement	23

Annex	<u>Page</u>
 (b) right to buy sales (c) sales of high value properties (d) Property Voids (e) Historic property numbers and trends 	
 18 Rent & rent arrears (a) Rent per week (b) Change in budgeted rents (c) Rent Arrears - trends (d) Universal Credit - impact to date (e) Bedroom Tax (f) Expectations of bad debts 	25
19 Service Charges	27
20 Budget changes 17/18 - summary	29
21 Budget changes 17/18 - repairs & maintenance	30
 22 Budget changes 17/18 - management costs (a) supervision & management (b) special services (c) rent, rates & other taxes (d) Corporate & Democratic Core 	31
 23 Budget changes 17/18 - recharges (a) supervision & management (b) special services (c) KWL Overheads 	32
 24 House Mark data (a) HouseMark Core Benchmarking data - compared to all (b) HouseMark Core Benchmarking data - compared to orgns with >15k units (c) HouseMark Core Benchmarking data - property data compared to all 	33
25 Risk Register	38
	43

HRA Revenue Budget

Annex 1

	Current Budget	Proposed Budget		<u>change</u>	<u>Annex</u>
	<u>2016/17</u> <u>£'000</u>	<u>2017/18</u> <u>£'000</u>	<u>£'000</u>	<u>%age</u>	
INCOME					
Dwelling Rent Income	90,444	90,240	(205)	-0.2%	18
Charges for service and facilities	2,556	2,647	91	3.6%	19
Non dwelling rents	1,277	1,237	(39)	-3.1%	19
Leaseholders charges for services	259	259	0 0	0.0%	
Other fees & charges	246	358	112	45.5%	
Interest on balances	269	32	(238)	-88.3%	
General Fund Transfer re Whole Community	738	673	(65)	-8.8%	
	95,789	95,445	(344)	-0.4%	2
EXPENDITURE					
Repairs & Maintenance	22,431	22,427	(4)	0.0%	10, 21
Supervision and Management	15,187	15,053	(134)	-0.9%	11, 22
Special Services	4,562	4,484	(78)	-1.7%	12, 22
Rent, rates, taxes & other charges	740	726	(14)	-1.9%	13, 22
Provision For Doubtful Debt	1,947	1,639	(307)	-15.8%	18
Capital Financing Costs	54,721	53,319	(1,402)	-2.6%	-
Contribution to Corporate & Democratic Core	295	295	0	0.0%	
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	99,882	97,943	(1,939)	-1.9%	2
Net surplus / (deficit)	(4,093)	(2,498)	1,595		



NB: Supervision and Management breakdown

MB. Supervision and Management Dreakdown	Current	FIODOSEU		change	AIIIIEA
	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Budget</u>			
	2016/17	2017/18			
	<u>£'000</u>	<u>£'000</u>	£'000	<u>%age</u>	
Area spend	4,887	5,121	234	4.8%	11
All other costs, including recharges	9,890	9,432	(458)	-4.6%	11
Claims against the HRA by tenants & third parties	410	500	90	22.0%	11
	15,187	15,053	(134)	-0.9%	

Current

Pronosad

change

Δημογ

Reconciliation of significant changes in year

Reconciliation of significant changes in year					Annex 2
	<u>Incon</u> <u>£'000</u>	<u>ne</u> <u>£'000</u>	<u>Expendi</u> <u>£'000</u>	<u>ture</u> <u>£'000</u>	<u>Net</u> <u>£'000</u>
Balances per 2016/17 budget		95,789		99,882	(4,093)
Rents & Service charges / R&M etc.	(0.10)		0		
change in rent levels change in property numbers	(848) (172)		0 (76)		
change in void levels <u>Costs/(savings) of Government Policy changes</u>	764_	(255)	(577)	(653)	397
Pay to Stay Sale of Higher Value Properties	0 0		(250) 0		
Welfare reform (inc delay in implementation of Universa	-	0	(13)	(263)	263
<u>Cost of Council Policy changes</u> Council Tax on Voids		0	177	(200)	200
Changes in tenant behaviours		0		177	(177)
Right to Buy numbers Disrepair	87		18 125		
Bad debts		87	(307)	(164)	252
Changes in the capital programme & capital financing changes in interest rates	(253)	07	(15)	(104)	202
Additional / (reduction in) debt repayments due Interest savings from previous debt repayments	(200)		(1,084) (576)		
Interest savings from lower than expected borrowing in Depreciation on dwelling stock	16/17 (short term o	only)	(650) 704		
Depreciation on non-dwelling stock		(253)	275	(1.046)	1,093
Cost pressures Area Housing staffing Review		(200)	138	(1,346)	1,095
Maintenance of properties in former Gateway areas		0 -	194	332	(220)
<u>Other changes</u> Cessation of paper adverts /impv't in letting times	133	0	(10)	332	(332)
Bringing medical priority work in house	155		(18) (22)		
Non production of annual rent cards DAP properties			(2) (15)		
LD Properties Other staff changes (inc transport)			(11) (154)		
Past Service Pension Contributions Consultants & recruitment costs			(75) (69)		
Grounds maintenance Property savings	(3)		(11) (25)		
Other recharges (inc KWL) Reduced footpath maintenance	(70)		(262) (78)		
Street lighting costs Reduced painting & fencing programmes	30		30 (246)		
Change in other R&M costs	(10)		(285)		
Transfer of Welbury Grove to MIND Photovoltaics	(12) (18)		(12)		
Communal electric and gas		60	(111)	(1,365)	1,426
Housing Review costs Temporary project support staff (2 yrs)			137		
Investment in IT systems Others (inc consultation with tenants)		_	100 50		
Restructure costs		0		287	(287)
restructure & redundancy costs		0	1,000	1,000	(1,000)
General inflationary factors (Pay / Gas / Electric / CTax	etc.)	3	_	156	(153)
Others	_	95,431 14	_	98,043 (100)	(2,612)
Net change	_	95,445 344	_	97,943 1,939	(2,498) (1,595)

Significant savings assumed to date	Annex 3
-------------------------------------	---------

FTEs Value (£'000)

total of all savings agreed in last years budget 5.34 923 included in this year proposals 8.76 229 staff & pensions savings 8.76 25 savings on recharges 220 25 various small changes highlighted in Annex 2 145 Abandonment of Pay to Stay - The Government decided not to proceed with Pay to Stay in November 373 4016, the previous budget assuming that there would be a net impact through either Government 373 4016, the previous budget assuming that there would be a net impact through either Government 373 4016, the previous budget assuming that there would be a net impact through either Government 373 4016, the previous budget assuming that there would be a net impact through either Government 373 4011 4.10 4.107 Weffare Reform changes (UC now being delayed) was organity assumed to have a more significant impact than the final position 14.10 ending of empty properties programme (average over next 10 years) 14.10 4.107 exit from Northpoint lease 17 497 full year effect of savings assumption 147 497 full year effect of savings in 17/18 221 221 Housing review costs 187 321 foroal 5225 541 foldional rentrough higher short term inflation <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>			
Included in this year proposals staff & pensions savings additional property savings savings on recharges electricity & gas savings 3205, the previous budget assuming that three would be a net impact through either Government assumptions being too generous or ineligible costs being incurred Abandonment of Pay to Stay - The Government decided not to proceed with Pay to Stay in November 2015, the previous budget assuming that three would be a net impact through either Government assumptions being too generous or ineligible costs being incurred Abandonment of Pay to Stay - The Government decided not to proceed with Pay to Stay in November 2015, the previous budget assuming that three would be a net impact through either Government assumptions being too generous or ineligible costs being incurred Abandonment of Pay to Stay - The Government decided not to proceed with Pay to Stay in November 2016, the previous budget assumed Fight to Buys The Area Housing Review, alongside the neet to service additional support for trenants affected by Welfare Reform on being delayed)) was originally assumed to have a more significant impact than the final position ending of empty properties programme (average over next 10 years) <u>14,10 4,187</u> Future Savings assumed closure of Information Points effect of savings in 17/18 Housing review costs Investment in IT Total Cotal Cotal Solutional related in 2017 Event for budget in 17/18 Future Savings in last vasa under them inflation ass additional entit income through higher short term inflation ass additional cost of P&M (80) Savings in last vasa under (out of grant) bas of net income (10 years)	The overall position is now		
staff & pensions savings 8.76 229 additional property savings 25 savings on recharges 262 electricity & gas savings 619 various small changes highlighted in Annex 2 145 Abandonment of Pay to Stay - The Government decided not to proceed with Pay to Stay in November 373 2016, the previous budget assuming that there would be a net limpact through filter Government 373 2016, the previous budget assuming that there would be a net limpact through filter Government 373 2016, the previous budget assuming that there would be a net limpact through filter Government 373 Abandonment of Pay to Stay - The Government decided not to proceed with Pay to Stay in November 373 Welfare Reform changes (UC now being delayed)) was originally assumed to have a more significant impact through properties programme (average over next 10 years) 10.38 User of Information Points 17 exit to years) 14.10 4.187 Edure Savings assumptions 223 closure of Information Points 17 exit to years) 214 223 changes in captal programme 223 changes in underlying assumptions 250 reduced inthrough higher short term infla	total of all savings agreed in last years budget	5.34	923
Abardonment of Pay to Stay - The Government decided not to proceed with Pay to Stay in November 2016, the previous budget assuming that there would be a net impact through either Government assumptions being too generous or ineligible costs being incurred 373 Abardonment of Pay to Stay - Reduction in numbers of assumed Right to Buys 1,038 The Area Housing Review, alongside the need to service additional support for tenants affected by Weltare Reform changes (UC now being delayed)) was onginally assumed to have a more significant impact than the final position 1038 ending of empty properties programme (average over next 10 years) 14.10 4.187 Future Savings assumed 17 130 closure of Information Points 17 exit 10 years) 14.10 4.187 Future Savings assumed 64 64 improvement in forecast voids & bad debts 223 changes in capital programme 247 full year effect of savings in 17/18 250 Housing review costs 187 Investment in IT 187 rotal 5,225 changes in underlying assumptions 250 reduced interest rates 250 additional cost of R&M 250 Savings in last year budget - Capital resout of grant) 9,421	staff & pensions savings additional property savings savings on recharges electricity & gas savings	8.76	25 262 111
2016, the previous budget assuming that there would be a net impact through either Government assumptions being too generous or ineligible costs being incurred 1,038 Abandonment of Pay to Stay - Reduction in numbers of assumed Right to Buys 1,038 The Area Housing Review, alongside the need to service additional support for tenants affected by 332 Welfare Reform changes (UC now being delayed)) was originally assumed to have a more significant impact than the final position 130 ending of empty properties programme (average over next 10 years) 130 Exit row Northpoint lease 64 improvement in forecast voids & bad debts 243 closure of Information Points 247 exit tram costs included in 17/18 28 Housing review costs 187 investment in T 31 otal 5,225 changes in capital programme 423 iess additional revital income through higher short term inflation 250 iess of net income (10 years) 5,421 471 feduction in New Build programme (net of grant) 3,421 471 idss of net income (10 years) 32 32	various small changes highlighted in Annex 2		145
Abardonment of Pay to Stay - Reduction in numbers of assumed Right to Buys 1,038 The Area Housing Review, alongside the need to service additional support for tenants affected by 322 Weifare Reform changes (UC now being delayed)) was originally assumed to have a more significant impact than the final position 130 ending of empty properties programme (average over next 10 years) 130 Lift.10 4,187 Future Savings assumed 64 oclosure of Information Points 243 exit from Northpoint lease 64 improvement in forecast voids & bad debts 247 changes in capital programme 447 full year effect of savings in 17.18 28 Housing review costs 187 Investment in IT 31 100 228 changes in curderlying assumptions 218 Total 5,225 changes in underlying assumptions 250 idditional cost of R&M 594 Total 5,819 Savings in last year bludget - Capital regramme (net of grant) (149) 2421 reduction in New Build programme (net of grant) (149) 2421	2016, the previous budget assuming that there would be a net impact through either Government		373
next 10 years) 14.10 4,187 Future Savings assumed 17 closure of Information Points 64 improvement in forecast voids & bad debts 223 changes in capital programme 487 tull year effect of savings in 17/18 280 Short term costs included in 17/18 820 Housing review costs 187 Investment in IT 11 - 218 Total 5,225 changes in underlying assumptions 260 reduced interest rates 250 additional rental income through higher short term inflation 423 iess additional cost of R&M 5,819 Savings in last year budget - Capital reduction in New Build programme (net of grant) loss of net income (10 years) 9,421 471 iss of net income (10 years) 323 323	Abandonment of Pay to Stay - Reduction in numbers of assumed Right to Buys The Area Housing Review, alongside the need to service additional support for tenants affected by Welfare Reform changes (UC now being delayed)) was originally assumed to have a more significant		
Future Savings assumed 17 closure of Information Points 17 exit from Northpoint lease 223 improvement in forecast voids & bad debts 223 changes in capital programme 487 full year effect of savings in 17/18 280 Short term costs included in 17/18 280 Housing review costs 187 Investment in IT 31 - 218 Total 5.225 changes in underlying assumptions 250 reduced interest rates 250 additional cost of R&M 480 - 5.819 Savings in last vear budget - Capital 9,421 reduction in New Build programme (net of grant) 9,421 loss of net income (10 years) 9,421			130
Future Savings assumed 17 closure of Information Points 17 exit from Northpoint lease 223 improvement in forecast voids & bad debts 223 changes in capital programme 487 full year effect of savings in 17/18 280 Short term costs included in 17/18 280 Housing review costs 187 Investment in IT 31 - 218 Total 5.225 changes in underlying assumptions 250 reduced interest rates 250 additional cost of R&M 480 - 5.819 Savings in last vear budget - Capital 9,421 reduction in New Build programme (net of grant) 9,421 loss of net income (10 years) 9,421		14 10	/ 187
closure of Information Points 17 exit from Northpoint lease 64 improvement in forecast voids & bad debts 223 changes in capital programme 487 full year effect of savings in 17/18 28 Short term costs included in 17/18 28 Housing review costs 187 Investment in IT 31 - 218 Total 5,225 changes in underlying assumptions 250 reduced interest rates 480 additional cost of R&M 480 - 594 Total 5,819 Savings in last year budget - Capital reduction in New Build programme (net of grant) loss of net income (10 years) 9,421 471 323		14.10	4,107
exit from Northpoint lease 64 improvement in forecast voids & bad debts 223 changes in capital programme 487 full year effect of savings in 17/18 Housing review costs 187 Investment in IT 31 Total 5,225 changes in underlying assumptions reduced interest rates 250 additional rental income through higher short term inflation 423 less additional cost of R&M (80) Total 5,819 Savings in last year budget - Capital reduction in New Build programme (net of grant) 9,421 471 loss of net income (10 years) 9,421 471 (148) 323	Future Savings assumed		
improvement in forecast voids & bad debts changes in capital programme full year effect of savings in 17/18 Aution of the savings in 17/18 Housing review costs Investment in IT Total Total Total Savings in last year budget - Capital reduction in New Build programme (net of grant) loss of net income (10 years) Page 28 28 - 820 - 9421 (149) - 323 - 820 -			
changes in capital programme full year effect of savings in 17/18 487 28 - 820 Short term costs included in 17/18 - Housing review costs 187 Investment in IT 31 - 218 Total 5,225 changes in underlying assumptions 5,225 reduced interest rates additional rental income through higher short term inflation less additional cost of R&M 250 Total 5,94 Total 5,819 Savings in last year budget - Capital reduction in New Build programme (net of grant) loss of net income (10 years) 9,421 471 (148) 323			-
full year effect of savings in 17/18 28 Short term costs included in 17/18 - Housing review costs 187 Investment in IT 31 - 218 Total 5,225 changes in underlying assumptions 250 reduced interest rates 250 additional rental income through higher short term inflation 423 less additional cost of R&M - Total 5,819 Savings in last year budget - Capital reduction in New Build programme (net of grant) loss of net income (10 years) 9,421 471 (148) 323			
Short term costs included in 17/18Housing review costs187Investment in IT31 $-$ 218Total5,225changes in underlying assumptions5reduced interest rates additional cost of R&M250 $-$ 594(80)Total5,819Savings in last year budget - Capital reduction in New Build programme (net of grant) loss of net income (10 years)9,421 471 (148) 323			28
Housing review costs 187 31Investment in IT 187 31Total $-$ 218Total $5,225$ changes in underlying assumptions $5,225$ reduced interest rates additional central income through higher short term inflation less additional cost of R&M 250 423 (80)Total $-$ 594Savings in last year budget - Capital reduction in New Build programme (net of grant) loss of net income (10 years) $9,421$ (148) 323			820
Housing review costs 187 31Investment in IT 187 31Total $-$ 218Total $5,225$ changes in underlying assumptions $5,225$ reduced interest rates additional central income through higher short term inflation less additional cost of R&M 250 423 (80)Total $-$ 594Savings in last year budget - Capital reduction in New Build programme (net of grant) loss of net income (10 years) $9,421$ (148) 323			
Investment in IT 31 Investment in IT 31 . 218 Total 5,225 changes in underlying assumptions 5,225 reduced interest rates 250 additional rental income through higher short term inflation 423 less additional cost of R&M (80) . 594 Total 5,819 Savings in last year budget - Capital 9,421 reduction in New Build programme (net of grant) 9,421 loss of net income (10 years) 323	Short term costs included in 17/18		
Image: constraint of the second sec			
Total 5,225 changes in underlying assumptions reduced interest rates reduced interest rates 250 additional rental income through higher short term inflation 423 less additional cost of R&M (80) - 594 Total 5,819 Savings in last year budget - Capital reduction in New Build programme (net of grant) loss of net income (10 years) 9,421 471 (148) 323 323	Investment in II		31
changes in underlying assumptions reduced interest rates 250 additional rental income through higher short term inflation 423 less additional cost of R&M (80) - 594 Total 5,819 Savings in last year budget - Capital reduction in New Build programme (net of grant) loss of net income (10 years) 9,421 471 (148) 323 323		-	218
changes in underlying assumptions reduced interest rates 250 additional rental income through higher short term inflation 423 less additional cost of R&M (80) - 594 Total 5,819 Savings in last year budget - Capital reduction in New Build programme (net of grant) loss of net income (10 years) 9,421 471 (148) 323 323	Total		5.225
reduced interest rates additional rental income through higher short term inflation less additional cost of R&M - 594 Total <u>Savings in last year budget - Capital</u> reduction in New Build programme (net of grant) loss of net income (10 years) <u>250</u> <u>423</u> (80) - 594 <u>5,819</u> <u>9,421</u> <u>471</u> <u>(148)</u> <u>323</u>		=	
additional rental income through higher short term inflation 423 less additional cost of R&M (80) - 594 Total 5,819 Savings in last year budget - Capital reduction in New Build programme (net of grant) loss of net income (10 years) 9,421 471 (148) 323	changes in underlying assumptions		
less additional cost of R&M (80) - 594 Total 5,819 Savings in last year budget - Capital reduction in New Build programme (net of grant) loss of net income (10 years) 9,421 471 (148) 323			
- 594 Total 5,819 Savings in last year budget - Capital reduction in New Build programme (net of grant) loss of net income (10 years) 9,421 471 (148) 323			
Total5,819Savings in last year budget - Capital reduction in New Build programme (net of grant) loss of net income (10 years)9,421471(148) 323			(00)
Savings in last year budget - Capital reduction in New Build programme (net of grant)9,421471 (148)loss of net income (10 years)323		-	594
reduction in New Build programme (net of grant) 9,421 471 loss of net income (10 years) (148) 323	Total	=	5,819
loss of net income (10 years) (148) 323			
323		9,421	
	ioss of her income (10 years)	-	
Eurther savings required to balance the budget over the medium term		=	<u> </u>
	Further savings required to balance the budget over the medium term	=	7,500

HRA Capital	<u>Current</u> <u>Budget</u> 2016/17	<u>Proposed</u> <u>Budget</u> 2017/18		<u>change</u>	<u>Annex 4</u> <u>Annex</u>
	<u>2'000</u>	£'000	<u>£'000</u>	<u>%age</u>	
Capital Spend Decent Homes Mechanical & electrical (inc. asbestos) KWL overheads Others Estate environmental Council House Adaptations Empty Properties Regeneration (inc. demolitions) Base Programme Cladding New build	11,709 3,340 2,250 1,400 0 2,750 2,900 3,608 27,957 9,411 10,719	8,152 3,381 2,000 1,400 0 2,750 250 1,636 19,569 9,489 14,602 8,284	(3,557) 41 (250) 0 0 (2,650) (1,972) (8,388) 78 3,883 8,284	-30.4% 1.2% -11.1% 0.0% 0.0% -91.4% -54.6% -30.0% 0.8% 36.2%	14 14 14
New Build/Empty properties – subject to affordability Sale of High Value Properties - levy	0 0	0	8,284 0	0.00/	14
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	48,087	51,944	3,857	8.0%	
RTB Receipts - new build RTB Receipts - general NAHP HCA grants - indicative ECO	640 2,626 3,572 0 837	1,411 669 2,206 4,030 602	770 (1,957) (1,366) 4,030 (235)	120.3% -74.5% -38.2% -28.1%	
Empty Homes Grant LEP (Regional Growth Fund) MRA (general) RCCO Borrowing	0 1,079 36,002 0 3,331	88 0 39,514 0 3,425	(233) 88 (1,079) 3,512 0 94	-100.0% 9.8% 2.8%	
	48,087	51,944	3,857	8.0%	
17/18 Capital budget assumed in 16/17 budget report Change		43,643 8,301	19.0%		

Note - these costs are based on decency to be maintained going forward but the programme is essentially a reactive programme taking action where items are failing. Programme delivery at this level would keep properties in a reasonable state of repair but would not meet any aspirational standards and would not prevent further decline in areas that are already showing signs of stress or failure. Failure to replace some elements on a planned basis will also result in pressure on responsive repair budgets. Some essential planned work will also be carried out only to prevent elemental failure, such as boiler replacements.

	<u>13/14</u>	<u>14/15</u>	<u>15/16</u>
Non-decent housing	2.30%	2.33%	1.13%
Properties where DH work has been refused	18.37%	17.00%	15.86%



HRA Capital

projected future spend (inc inflation)

	<u>2017 / 2018</u>	<u>2018 / 2019</u>	<u>2019 / 2020</u>	<u>2020 / 2021</u>	<u>2021 / 2022</u>
Capital Spend					
Decent Homes	8,152	8,268	8,525	9,006	8,715
Mechanical & electrical (inc. asbestos)	3,381	2,808	2,853	3,396	3,449
KWL overheads	2,000	2,050	2,112	2,175	2,229
Others (inc. client costs)	1,400	1,394	1,440	1,501	1,561
Estate environmental	0	0	0	0	0
Council House Adaptations	2,750	2,788	2,875	2,974	3,066
Empty Properties	250	0	0	0	0
Regeneration (inc. demolitions)	1,636	1,083	544	107	111
Base Programme	19,569	18,391	18,349	19,160	19,131
Cladding	9,489	8,603	8,885	7,511	0
New build	14,602	1,155	0	0	0
New Build/Empty properties – subject to affordability	8,284	3,600	0	0	0
Sale of High Value Properties - levy	0	1,000	1,050	1,076	1,103
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	51,944	32,748	28,284	27,747	20,235
		-		-	

No additional regeneration programmes assumed other than those already planned. In reality, should projects stack up financially using any available grant funding that may exist, then new initiatives will be added into the programme as they develop. These are indicative programmes at this stage as detailed programmes will need to be constructed based on need at the time.

Capital Financing					
RTB Receipts - new build	1,411	347	0	0	0
RTB Receipts - general	669	1,695	1,775	1,810	1,846
NAHP	2,206	0	0	0	0
HCA grants - indicative	4,030	1,823	0	0	0
ECO	602	400	500	0	0
Empty Homes Grant	88	0	0	0	0
LEP (Regional Growth Fund)	0	0	0	0	0
MRA (general)	39,514	25,619	25,997	25,937	18,389
RCCO	0	0	0	0	0
Borrowing	3,425	2,865	11	0	0
	51,944	32,748	28,284	27,747	20,235

RTB Receipts - general

includes proceeds from voluntary sales of properties to meet the SHVP levy

HRA Reserves

	<u>2016 / 2017</u>	<u>2017 / 2018</u>	<u>2018 / 2019</u>	<u>2019 / 2020</u>	<u>2020 / 2021</u>
HRA Reserves	3,000	3,393	3,248	3,236	3,487
Decent Homes Reserve	22,334	21,094	14,871	16,271	4,724
	25,334	24,487	18,119	19,507	8,211
MRA Reserves	14,252	0	0	0	684
	39,586	24,487	18,119	19,507	8,895
notes:					
HRA Reserves	This is the mir the HRA.	nimum reserve l	evel to reflect th	ne inherent fina	ncial risks in
Decent Homes Reserve	Reserves mai programme w	ntained to unde hen due.	rtaken replacer	nent of decent l	nomes

These reserves can only be spent on Capital works.

MRA Reserves

Projected reserves - next 25 years



actual erves at 31/3/14	projected reserves at 31/3/17	Increase/ (reduction)	
£'000	£'000	<u>£'000</u>	<u>%</u>
3,000	3,000	0	0.0%
36,733	22,334	(14,399)	-39.2%
39,733	25,334	(14,399)	-36.2%
10,862	14,252	3,390	31.2%
50,595	39,586	(11,009)	-21.8%
	erves at <u>31/3/14</u> <u>£'000</u> 3,000 <u>36,733</u> 39,733 10,862	erves at 31/3/14 reserves at 31/3/17 £'000 £'000 3,000 3,000 36,733 22,334 39,733 25,334 10,862 14,252	erves at 31/3/14 reserves at 31/3/17 (reduction) 31/3/14 31/3/17 £'000 £'000 £'000 £'000 3,000 3,000 0 36,733 22,334 (14,399) 39,733 25,334 (14,399) 10,862 14,252 3,390

the graph below shows the impact that the changes since 2015/16 (notably the change in rents) have made in reserve projections.



Sensitivity Analyses

<u>£'000</u>

Annex 6

<u>£'k</u>

The graph below includes a projection of reserves assuming, from 2020/21, we found **additional annual** savings (or 7,500 income) of:



The graph below includes a projection of reserves assuming the Government imposed a two years rent freeze:





(a) HRA Debt Cap	<u>2017 / 2018</u>	<u>2018 / 2019</u>	<u>2019 / 2020</u>	<u>2020 / 2021</u>	<u>2021 / 2022</u>
Debt cap at end of the year	347,139	344,189	340,924	337,578	334,124
(b) HRA Borrowing	<u>2017 / 2018</u> <u>£'000</u>	<u>2018 / 2019</u> <u>£'000</u>	<u>2019 / 2020</u> <u>£'000</u>	<u>2020 / 2021</u> <u>£'000</u>	<u>2021 / 2022</u> <u>£'000</u>
PWLB (normal business) PWLB (RCHF) Other loans Borrowing b/f	131,696 78,989 48,993 259,678 272,612	118,234 78,989 46,993 244,216 259,678	111,161 78,989 <u>46,993</u> 237,143 244,216	92,543 78,989 46,993 218,525 237,143	81,504 78,989 46,993 207,486 218,525
new borrowing repaid in year	5,425 (18,359) 259,678	4,865 (20,327) 244,216	2,011 (9,084) 237,143	2,000 (20,617) 218,525	2,000 (13,040) 207,486
(c) Debt Maturity by years	<u>Debt at 1/4/17</u> <u>£'000</u>	90.0 £'m 80.0 -		naturity profile	<u>.</u>
1 year 1 - 2 years 2 - 5 years 5-10 years 10-15 years 15-20 years 20-25 years 25-30 years 30-35 years 35-40 years 40-45 years	18,359 20,327 42,741 45,270 84,763 7,079 7,079 0 11,014 0 0 35,979	70.0 - 60.0 - 50.0 - 40.0 - 30.0 - 20.0 - 10.0 - 0.0 - Ve ^{ex} L ^{ex^x}	1, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 1	2 483 1883 1883 1883	285 12875 1285 0057 1251 1285

HRA Capital Receipts

	<u>2017 / 2018</u> <u>£'000</u>	<u>2018 / 2019</u> <u>£'000</u>	<u>2019 / 2020</u> <u>£'000</u>	<u>2020 / 2021</u> <u>£'000</u>	<u>2021 / 2022</u> <u>£'000</u>
available for general use					
available at the start of the year	0	0	0	0	0
generated in year - normal receipts - sale of Higher Value properties	669 0	676 1,019	682 1,093	689 1,121	696 1,149
To fund Cap Ex	(669)	(1,695)	(1,775)	(1,810)	(1,846)
available at the end of the year	0	0	0	0	0
available for new build only					
available at the start of the year	0	1,694	5,482	10,141	15,059
generated in year - "additional" receipts	3,105	4,134	4,659	4,918	5,161
To fund Cap Ex	(1,411)	(347)	0	0	0
Returned to CLG?	0	0	0	0	0
available at the end of the year	1,694	5,482	10,141	15,059	20,220



HRA Revenue Budget - detailed breakdowns

Annex 9

Analysis of HRA spend



Analysis of housing management costs



Source of variable spend



HRA Repairs & Maintenance Budget - further analysis

Annex 10 (1)

	<u>Current</u> <u>Budget</u> 2016/17	Proposed Budget 2017/18		<u>change</u>
	£'000	£'000	<u>£'000</u>	<u>%age</u>
Day to Day Repairs	5,885	5,720	(165)	-2.8%
Relet repairs	5,085	4,675	(410)	-8.1%
Gas Servicing & Heating Repairs	2,425	2,295	(130)	-5.4%
Planned / cyclical repairs	2,962	2,636	(326)	-11.0%
Other Mechanical, Electrical & Specialist Repairs	897	805	(92)	-10.3%
KWL Overheads	4,592	4,467	(125)	-2.7%
Sundry other costs	85	329	244	287.1%
Contingency	500	500	0	0.0%
Provision for redundancy costs	0	1,000	1,000	
	22 431	22 427	(4)	0.0%



HRA Repairs & Maintenance Budget - further analysis

Annex 10 (2)

Historic outturn trends	<u>2012/13</u> <u>£'000</u>	<u>2013/14</u> <u>£'000</u>	<u>2014/15</u> <u>£'000</u>	<u>2015/16</u> <u>£'000</u>	<u>2016/17</u> <u>£'000</u>
Day to Day Repairs	5,788	5,982	5,987	5,970	5,885
Relet Repairs	3,825	4,888	4,653	4,522	4,785
Planned/Cyclical Repairs	2,603	2,059	3,654	2,589	2,912
Gas & Heating Repairs (Inc. Gas DTD)	2,324	2,394	2,437	2,349	2,405
Other Mechanical, Electrical & Specialist Repairs	374	440	771	1,208	841
Other Costs - Overheads, Vehicles, etc.	658	78	72	86	100
KWL overheads	4,471	4,876	4,574	4,653	4,567
Contingency	0	6	0	97	500
Project Agreement Mobilisation Provisions	2,186	0	0	0	0
	22.220	20 722	22 1/0	21 475	21 005





Relet Repairs

This is a budget directly lead by tenant demand. Whilst it has remained fairly static over recent years the trend is of marginal reductions over recent years.

This budget is lead by the number of voids. After a significant increase in 13/14, void numbers are currently steadily reducing and this is reflected in reducing budget in 17/18.



Planned/cyclical repairs

This is based on needs and mainly reflects painting programmes.

	<u>indicative</u>				
Projected future spend	<u>2017 / 2018</u>	<u>2018 / 2019</u>	<u>2019 / 2020</u>	<u>2020 / 2021</u>	<u>2021 / 2022</u>
	<u>£'000</u>	<u>£'000</u>	<u>£'000</u>	<u>£'000</u>	<u>£'000</u>
Day to Day Repairs	5,720	5,802	5,869	5,940	5,990
Relet repairs	4,675	4,742	4,797	4,855	4,896
Gas Servicing & Heating Repairs	2,295	2,328	2,355	2,383	2,403
Planned / cyclical repairs	2,636	2,674	2,705	2,737	2,761
Other Mechanical, Electrical & Specialist Repairs	805	816	826	836	843
KWL Overheads & other costs	4,467	4,531	4,584	4,639	4,678
Sundry other costs	329	329	232	135	135
Contingency	500	500	500	500	500
Provision for redundancy costs	1,000	0	0	0	0
	22,427	21,722	21,867	22,024	22,207

NB: above figures do not reflect the need to make savings overall and thus would be expected to reduce over time as budgets are refined, or property numbers change.

Supervision & Management Budget - further analysis

Annex 11

-0.9%

(134)

	<u>Current</u> <u>Budget</u> 2016/17	Proposed Budget 2017/18		<u>change</u>
	<u>£'000</u>	<u>£'000</u>	<u>000'3</u>	<u>%age</u>
Area Management Costs	4,495	4,730	234	5.2%
Area Based Spend	391	391	0	0.0%
Other housing costs	2,053	2,100	47	2.3%
Housing Investment Services	1,144	1,138	(7)	-0.6%
Leasehold & claims management	93	94	1	1.0%
Customer Feedback	137	139	1	1.0%
Housing Strategy	548	406	(142)	-25.9%
Central Recharges	4,143	4,087	(56)	-1.4%
Welfare Reform	326	298	(28)	-8.7%
Insurance Claims	410	500	90	22.0%
Homeless Properties	70	70	0	0.0%
Past Service Pension Contributions	875	800	(75)	-8.6%
Contingency	500	300	(200)	-40.0%

Area Based Spend 3% Other housing costs 14% Housing Investment Services Area Management Costs 31% 8% Leasehold & claims management 1% Customer Feedback 1% Housing Strategy 3% Central Recharges 27% Contingency 2% Past Service Pension Contributions 5% ر_ Insurance Claims 3% Homeless Properties Welfare Reform 0% 2%

15,187

15,053

Total cost of housing management	Current Budget	Proposed Budget		<u>change</u>
	<u>2016/17</u> <u>£'000</u>	<u>2017/18</u> <u>£'000</u>	<u>£'000</u>	%age
Supervision & management above Special services Property costs Corporate & democratic core less	15,187 4,562 370 295	15,053 4,484 253 295	(134) (78) (117) 0	-0.9% -1.7% -31.6% 0.0%
costs recharged	(3,799)	(3,936)	(138)	3.6%
	16,615	16,148	(466)	-2.8%
Area pay & pensions	4,391	4,574	183	4.2%
Area based spend	391	391	0	0.0%
Other housing pay & pensions Housing Review costs	3,844	3,637 54	(207) 54	-5.4%
Recharges (inc CDC)	4,438	4,382	(56)	-1.3%
Insurance claims	410	500	90	22.0%
Welfare reform costs - hardship fund	250	250	0	0.0%
Premises	370	253	(117)	-31.6%
Grounds maintenance costs (net)	1,576	1,565	(11)	-0.7%
Other costs (net of recharges)	945	542	(402)	-42.6%
	16,615	16,148	(466)	-2.8%

Special Services Budget - further analysis

	<u>Current</u> <u>Budget</u> 2016/17	Proposed Budget 2017/18		<u>change</u>
	£'000	£'000	£'000	<u>%age</u>
Sheltered	1,112	1,122	10	0.9% recharged
Caretakers & concierge	654	675	21	3.2% recharged
Grounds maintenance & trees	1,576	1,565	(11)	-0.7%
Street cleaning & lighting	50	80	30	60.0%
Communal areas	1,022	929	(92)	-9.0% recharged
Joint Occasional Fund	20	20	0	0.0%
LD/MH properties	37	16	(21)	-56.7% recharged
Domestic Abuse Partnership	92	77	(15)	-16.0%
	4,562	4,484	(78)	-1.7%



<u>Recharges</u>	<u>Current</u> <u>Budget</u> 2016/17	Proposed Budget		<u>change</u>	<u>Cost</u> recovered
	<u>£'000</u>	<u>2017/18</u> <u>£'000</u>	<u>£'000</u>	%age	<u>%age</u>
<u>Sheltered</u> total cost of which recharged	1,112 (1,083)	1,122 (1,122)	10 (39)	0.9% 3.6%	
net cost to the HRA	29	(0)	(29)	-100.8%	100.0%
Caretakers & concierge total cost of which recharged net cost to the HRA	654 (466) 188	675 (495) 180	21 (29) (66)	3.2% 6.2% -35.0%	73.4%
Communal areas total cost of which recharged net cost to the HRA	1,022 (558) 464	929 (612) 318	(92) (54) (249)	-9.0% 9.6% -53.6%	65.8%
LD/MH properties total cost of which recharged net cost to the HRA	37 (34) 3	16 (16) 0	(21) 18 (464)	-56.7% -52.5% -14199.8%	100.0%

Rent rates & other taxes Budget - further analysis

Annex 13

	<u>Current</u> <u>Budget</u> 2016/17	<u>Proposed</u> <u>Budget</u> 2017/18		<u>change</u>
	£'000	£'000	<u>£'000</u>	%age
Council Tax on voids	370	473	103	27.7% 2.1%
Area Offices Premises Insurance	164 133	167 21	3 (112)	-84.2%
Other premises costs	73	65	(9)	-12.0%
	740	726	(14)	-1.9%

NB: change in C Tax on voids

16/17 budget	370
Policy change - increase in charges (from first day of being void rather than after 28 days)	177
increase in C Tax charges	22
change in number of voids	(97)
	473



HRA Capital Budget - further analysis

Remaining <u>17/18</u> <u>18/19</u> Total (a) New Build Cost Preston Road New build Provision £9,227,000 Orchard Park New Build Provision £4,758,214 Bungalows New Build Provision £1,142,000 Empty properties £250,000 £15,377,214 (b) Cladding already 16/17(full yr) 17/18 later Total completed Contractually committed Preston Road Wingets HCC **Orchard Park Thorpes** Committed Balance Orchard Park and Greenwood Wimpey No Fines 5M's <u>To be reviewed</u> Bransholme District and Roebank Caspons Spooners Wimpey No Fines Calders RatTrads 1,248 1,248

	properties	<u>overall cost</u> (all years)	<u>each</u>	<u>Remaining</u> <u>Cost</u>
Contractually committed Preston Road Wingets HCC Orchard Park Thorpes	288 401 689	£5,753,117 £4,074,470 £9,827,587	£19,976 £10,161 _ =	£600,000 £0 £600,000
<u>Committed</u> Balance Orchard Park and Greenwood Wimpey No Fines 5M's	758 53 811	£7,801,336 £1,027,919 £8,829,255	£10,292 £19,395 _ =	£7,801,336 £1,027,919 £8,829,255
<u>To be reviewed</u> Bransholme District and Roebank Caspons Spooners Wimpey No Fines Calders RatTrads	504 286 442 58 1,248 2,538	£8,542,800 £3,943,527 £4,881,208 £1,211,089 £6,240,000 £24,818,624	£16,950 £13,789 £11,043 £20,881 £5,000 _	£8,542,800 £3,943,527 £4,881,208 £1,211,089 £6,240,000 £24,818,624
	4038	£43,475,466	£10,767	£34,247,879

(c) total committed regen spend	
New build	£15,377,214
Cladding	£34,247,879
	£49,625,093

(d) potential spend - subject to grant/affordability	properties	<u>overall cost</u> (all years)	<u>each</u>	<u>Assumed</u> grant	<u>Net</u>
Cherry Hinton	19	£784,393	£41,284	£513,000	£271,393
New Build	93	£9,100,000	£97,849	£4,557,000	£4,543,000
Empties	29	£2,000,000	£68,966	£783,000	£1,217,000
	141	£11,884,393	_	£5,853,000	£6,031,393

Analyses of staff charged to HRA (capital & revenue)

Annex 15

	<u>Current</u> <u>Budget</u> 2016/17	<u>Proposed</u> <u>Budget</u> 2017/18		<u>change</u>
	FTEs	FTEs	<u>FTEs</u>	<u>%age</u>
Housing Management	194.84	195.00	0.16	0.1% Area Housing Review
Other Housing	40.54	38.15	-2.39	-5.9% inc. temp Housing Review Support
Sheltered Housing	25.99	25.99	0.00	0.0%
HIS (inc capitalised)	64.47	64.57	0.09	0.1%
Strategy & Renewal (inc capitalised)	22.92 348.76	17.55 341.26	-5.37 -7.50	<u>-23.4%</u> -2.2%
KWL Overheads (excluding operatives)	121.98	121.98	0.00	0.0% per Open Book
Tetal amplayed	470 74	462.04	7.50	process
Total employed	470.74	463.24	-7.50	-1.6%
Directly employed				
Revenue	304.93	300.25	-4.69	-1.5%
Capital	43.83 348.76	41.01 341.26	-2.82 -7.50	<u>-6.4%</u> -2.2%
Net changes Welfare & Money advice TP team Housing Strategy & Renewal (various changes) changes in hours Area Housing Review net permanent savings temporary staff - Housing Review KWL Overheads (excluding operatives) 26% Strategy & Renewal (inc capitalised) 4% HIS (inc capitalised) 14%			1.00 2.39 5.37 8.76 Ann -0.09 -0.16 8.50 -1.00 7.50 Housin	ex 3 g Management 42%
Sheltered Housing 6%		Other Housing 8%		
longer term staffing changes	2009/2010	2017/2018		<u>change</u>
	2009/2010 FTEs	<u>2017/2018</u> FTEs	<u>FTEs</u>	<u>change</u> <u>%age</u>
Housing Management	215.18	195.00	-20.18	-9.4%
Other Housing	79.36	64.14	-15.22	-19.2%
HIS (inc capitalised)	93.16	64.57	-28.59	-30.7%
Strategy & Renewal (inc capitalised) / Gateway HMR*	44.00 431.70	17.55 341.26	-26.45 -90.44	<u>-60.1%</u> -20.9%
	431.70	341.20	-90.44	-20.3/0

Stock change in period

* Gateway functions became - in effect - the renewal element of Strategy & Renewal

-11.8%

Properties

(a) Breakdown of stock at 1 April 2016	Flats	<u>Houses &</u> bungalows	<u>Specialist</u> housing	<u>Total</u>	
bedsits	102	bungurono	neading	102	0.4%
1 bedroom	3,262	2,487		5,749	23.2%
2 bedrooms	2,089	6,812		8,901	36.0%
3 bedrooms	222	8,595	4	8,821	35.6%
4 bedrooms		1,059	4	1,063	4.3%
5 bedrooms 6 bedrooms		101 7		101 7	0.4% 0.0%
7 bedrooms		1		1	0.0%
	5,675	19,062	8	24,745	0.070
	22.9%	77.0%	0.0%		
(b) Open Market value of stock at 1 April 2016	<u>£'000</u>	<u>£'000</u>	<u>£'000</u>	£'000	
bedsits	1,977			1,977	0.1%
1 bedroom	104,508	121,996		226,504	17.1%
2 bedrooms	80,875	389,464	100	470,340	35.4%
3 bedrooms 4 bedrooms	9,202	538,094 74,237	198 164	547,494 74,401	41.2% 5.6%
5 bedrooms		6,765	104	6,765	0.5%
6 bedrooms		577		577	0.0%
7 bedrooms		138		138	0.0%
	196,563	1,131,271	362	1,328,195	
	14.8%	85.2%	0.0%		
(c) Average value by range	Properties	Value (£'000)	Average	<u>%age of</u>	%age of
0-5000	39	134	<u>(£'000)</u> 3.4	properties 0.16%	<u>value</u> 0.01%
5000-10000	29	231	7.9	0.12%	0.01%
10000-15000	3	30	10.0	0.01%	0.00%
15000-20000	57	1,023	17.9	0.23%	0.08%
20000-25000	536	11,877	22.2	2.17%	0.89%
25000-30000	1,112	29,868	26.9	4.49%	2.25%
30000-35000	1,509	47,055	31.2	6.10%	3.54%
35000-40000	1,396	50,939	36.5 41.2	5.64%	3.84%
40000-45000 45000-50000	2,259 2,982	93,053 139,615	46.8	9.13% 12.05%	7.01% 10.51%
50000-55000	2,490	129,063	51.8	10.06%	9.72%
55000-60000	3,232	184,743	57.2	13.06%	13.91%
60000-65000	2,034	125,465	61.7	8.22%	9.45%
65000-70000	3,290	218,405	66.4	13.30%	16.44%
70000-75000	1,766	126,988	71.9	7.14%	9.56%
75000-80000	413	31,993	77.5	1.67%	2.41%
80000-85000	1,053	85,514	81.2	4.26%	6.44%
85000-90000 90000-95000	47 297	4,036 27,136	85.9 91.4	0.19% 1.20%	0.30% 2.04%
95000-100000	115	11,235	97.7	0.46%	0.85%
100000-105000	30	3,058	101.9	0.12%	0.23%
105000-110000	1	106	106.0	0.00%	0.01%
110000-115000	7	779	111.3	0.03%	0.06%
115000-120000	29	3,350	115.5	0.12%	0.25%
120000-125000	11	1,341	121.9	0.04%	0.10%
125000-130000 130000-135000	3	377 132	125.8 132.5	0.01% 0.00%	0.03% 0.01%
135000-135000	1	132	132.5	0.00%	0.01%
140000-145000	1	141	141.0	0.00%	0.01%
185000-190000	2	371	185.5	0.01%	0.03%
Grand Total	24,745	1,328,195	53.7		
	3,500 3,000 2,500 1,500 1,000 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500	900 1000 1000 1000 1000	500 500 500 500 500 500	99900,1000,1000,55	0 ^{00,} 000

Projected changes in dwelling stock by year

Annex 17

(a) overall movement	<u>16/17(full yr)</u>	<u>17/18</u>	<u>18/19</u>	<u>19/20</u>	<u>20/21</u>
Opening stock	24,745	24,261	24,160	23,755	23,304
new build properties bringing empties back into use	28 0	207 10	15 0	0 0	0 0
regeneration areas Gorthorpe RTB Sales Sale of Higher Value properties Demolitions	(198) (47) (257) 0 (10)	(137) 0 (275) 0 (10)	(111) 0 (314) (22) (10)	(84) 0 (334) (23) (10)	0 (337) (23) (10)
indicative new build etc (subject to affordability)	0	104	37	0	0
others	0	0	0	0	0
	24,261	24,160	23,755	23,304	22,934



(b) right to buy sales

			11/12	186	74
600 -	RTB trends - rolling 12 month	800 - RTB forecasts	12/13	310	120
	averages		13/14	356	189
		700 -	14/15	317	160
500 -			15/16	469	209
	() () () () () () () () () ()	600 -	16/17 to Dec	404	211
400 -			Forecasts	500	
400 -		500 -	16/17(full yr)	528	257
			17/18	650	275
300 -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	400 -	18/19	682	289
			19/20	716	303
		300 -	20/21	723	306
200 -			21/22	730	309
		200 -			
100 -		100 -			
0 -					
	Apr-12 Sep-12 -eb-13 Jul-13 Jul-14 Aay-14 Aay-14 Aay-14 Jan-16 Jun-16 Jun-16 Jun-16	201,201,201,202,202,202,202,202,202,202,			
.	Apr-12 Sep-12 Sep-13 Jul-13 Jul-13 Dec-13 Dec-13 Aug-15 Jan-16 Jun-16 Jun-16 Nov-16	121 121 121 121 121 121 121 121 121 121			
	Sales	Forecast Applications			
	••				

Applications

Projected changes in dwelling stock by year Annex					
(c) sales of high value properties	<u>2017 / 2018</u>	<u>2018 / 2019</u>	<u>2019 / 2020</u>	<u>2020 / 2021</u>	<u>2021 / 2022</u>
assumed levy assumed property numbers required to be sold	£0 -	£1,000,000 22	£1,050,000 23	£1,076,250 23	£1,103,156 23

The implementation of the SHVT levy has been put back until 2018/19 at present. It may be that this disappears altogether in future, although this can not be confirmed at present. The estimation of value and property numbers that would require to be disposed of is <u>highly indicative</u> at this stage with a range of possible costs, if implemented, of perhaps up to £10m per annum.

(d) Property Voids





(e) Historic property numbers and trends

Rent & rent arrears

<u>(a) Rent per week</u> Average rent per week <i>50 week equivalent</i>	2016/172017/18change£73.16£72.43(£0.73)£76.09£75.33(£0.76)	<u>change</u> -1.00% <i>-1.00%</i>
(b) Change in budgeted rents	<u>£'000</u>	
budgeted rent 16/17	90,411	
change in rent levels change in property numbers change in void levels	(900) -1.0% (209) -0.2% 922 1.0% (187) -0.2%	
budgeted rent 17/18	90,224	

(c) Rent Arrears - trends

	<u>2013/14</u>	<u>2014/15</u>	<u>2015/16</u>	2016/17 Dec-16
Current Tenant Arrears (as % of rent roll)	1.889%	1.903%	2.011%	2.190%
Former Tenant Arrears (as % of rent roll)	0.923%	1.040%	0.990%	1.115%
Arrears written off as (% of rent roll)	0.464%	0.584%	0.574%	0.345%



<u>Annex 18</u>

Rent & rent arrears

Annex 18 (2)

(d) Universal Credit - impact to date

	Universal Credit Cases	All Tenants	Working age tenants		
Total	259	23,921	16,563		
No. in Arrears	201	5,605	5,221		
% in Arrears	77.6%	23.4%	31.5%		
Average £	£624.36	£337.56	£351.83		
Alternative Payment Arrangements (APAs) in place	34	1000.00			∟ 100.0%
% of cases on APA	13.1%				- 80.0%
UC Former Tenancy Arrears		£600.00 - £400.00 - £200.00 -			- 60.0% - 40.0% - 20.0%
Cases	15	£0.00	, I		- 0.0%
Overall arrears	£17,890.98	Univer	sal Credit All Ter	nants Working age	
Average per FTA	£1,192.73	C	ases	tenants	
higher than average by	121%		Average £	% in Arrears	
Average all FTA cases	£540.22				

(e) Bedroom Tax

01 February 2017

Bedroom Tax		£ Due	£ Paid	% Paid
Tenants charged bedroom tax	2,973	6,440,396	6,197,195	96.2%
% tenants paying in full	59.4%	3,983,183	3,983,169	100.0%
% tenants paying partial	39.3%	2,428,073	2,214,025	91.2%
% tenants paying none	1.3%	29,140	-	0.0%

(f) Expectations of bad debts

	<u>2017 / 2018</u>	<u>2018 / 2019</u>	<u>2019 / 2020</u>	<u>2020 / 2021</u>	<u>2021 / 2022</u>
current expected level of bad debts	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%
impact of welfare reform	0.00%	0.22%	0.44%	0.66%	0.89%
end of lifetime tenancies	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
contingency	0.05%	0.05%	0.05%	0.05%	0.05%
	1.05%	1.28%	1.50%	1.72%	1.94%

as we have a situation with a significant amount of unknowns these <u>must</u> be seen as indicative of the potential trajectory rather than a forecast. The full implications of the benefit cap and Universal Credit are not yet known, with some cognisance taken of earlier studies but we are essentially in uncharted territory at present so there are no historical patterns here or elsewhere to meaningfully draw upon. Fixed Term Tenancies are also expected to create a separate destabilising factor in later years.



Service Charges

Service Charges	<u>2016/17</u>	<u>4</u>	Increase Notes
Comilee			
Service CCTV	£0.81	£0.81	£0.00 cost recovery
Controlled Entry	£1.40	£1.20	(£0.20)
	2	21120	(20.20)
Concierge schemes			
Great Thornton Street - mobile security service	£2.30	£2.35	£0.05
<u>Garages</u> Block Garages	07.05	07.05	00.00
Private Garages	£7.05 £8.46	£7.05 £8.46	£0.00 £0.00 includes VAT
Filvale Galages			on all garages, except for tenants where
			unction with the property (VAT notice
	742, s4.2).	,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Sheltered			
Sheltered - Category 1	£6.03	£6.86	£0.84 rebalancing of costs between
Sheltered - Category 1.5	£8.20	£7.75	(£0.45) Cat 1 /11/2 and Cat 2
Sheltered - Category 2 / vertical	£23.26	£24.42	£1.16 schemes
PCT recharge (Thornton Court)	£12.10	£12.80	£0.70
Lifeline			
Fixed lifeline	£2.63	£2.65	£0.02 Cost recovery
Tenants lifeline	£2.63	£2.65	£0.02
Private lifeline	£3.15	£3.18	£0.03 includes VAT
PCT recharge (Thornton Court)	£3.37	£3.44	£0.07
<u>Others</u>			
Ashby / Hermes Heating Charge	£6.58	£6.72	£0.14
Heating Charge - all other bedsits	£10.39	£10.60	£0.21
Bungalow Heating Charge Heating Charge - all other flats	£14.45 £12.12	£14.74 £12.37	£0.29 £0.25
Welbury Grove Heating Charge	£3.95	£4.03	£0.23 £0.08
Ashby / Hermes Service Charge	£6.48	£6.61	£0.13
Charles Brady	£4.58	£4.68	£0.10
Standard Service Charge	£4.13	£4.22	£0.09
Welbury Grove Service Charge	£5.00	£5.10	£0.10
Water Meter	£4.63	£4.73	£0.10
Flats - Caretaking			
High Rise Flats	£3.89	£4.09	£0.20
2 Storey Blocks - Various Sizes (Communal Gardens Only)	£1.04	£1.10	£0.06
2 Storey 4 Blocks (Communal Entrance to 1st Floor only)	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
2 Storey Non Trad Corner 4 Blocks	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Traditional 2 Storey Corner 6 Block	£1.04	£1.10	£0.06 은
Traditional 3 Storey post war 6 Block	£1.04	£1.10	£0.06
Traditional 3 Storey pre war	£1.50	£1.58	£0.08 TY S.
Traditional 3 Storey Corner 6 block	£0.00	£0.00	<u>20.00</u>
Trad Maisonettes Over Estate Shops	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00 g
Non Trad Maisonettes Over Estate Shops Australia Houses	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00 Tric £0.13 A
Block Maisonettes	£2.59 £1.04	£2.72 £1.10	£0.13 <u>E</u> £0.06 ¥
6-9 Storey Flats	£1.04 £2.59	£2.72	£0.13 5
Ferensway House	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00 e
Acquired flats	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00 ਰ
Sheltered high rise flats	£3.89	£4.09	£0.00 đ £0.20 Q
Salinger House	£1.53	£1.61	£0.08 [©] .
Salinger House	£1.53	£1.61	£0.03
Dane View	£2.29	£2.41	£0.12 8
Coltman Street	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00 #

Service Charges

Service Charges Flats - Communal cleaning	<u>2016/17</u>	<u>4</u>	Increase	N
Elate - Communal cleaning			morodoo	Notes
High Rise Flats	£3.11	£3.27	£0.16	
2 Storey Blocks - Various Sizes (Communal Gardens Only)	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
2 Storey 4 Blocks (Communal Entrance to 1st Floor only)	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
2 Storey Non Trad Corner 4 Blocks	£0.63	£0.67	£0.04	
Traditional 2 Storey Corner 6 Block	£0.63	£0.67	£0.04	0
Traditional 3 Storey post war 6 Block	£0.63	£0.67	£0.04	
Traditional 3 Storey pre war	£0.56	£0.59	£0.03	ent
Traditional 3 Storey Corner 6 block	£0.38	£0.40	£0.02	
Trad Maisonettes Over Estate Shops	£0.56	£0.59	£0.03 °	
Non Trad Maisonettes Over Estate Shops	£0.56	£0.59	£0.03	nifi
Australia Houses	£4.71	£4.95	£0.24	Car
Block Maisonettes	£0.56	£0.59	£0.03 \	ntly
6-9 Storey Flats	£3.06	£3.22	£0.00	L L
Ferensway House	£8.64	£9.08	£0.16 £0.44	nde
Acquired flats	£0.63	£0.67	£0.04	orre
Sheltered high rise flats	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	č o
•	£1.19		£0.06	
Salinger House Salinger House	£1.19 £1.19	£1.25 £1.25	£0.06 £0.06 (
Sainger nouse Dane View	£1.19 £0.40	£1.25 £0.42	£0.06 £0.02	
	£0.40 £2.31	£0.42 £2.43	£0.02 £0.12	
Coltman Street	1.2.JI	£2.43	20.12	S
Flats - Communal electric				
High Rise Flats	£2.48	£2.73	£0.25	
2 Storey Blocks - Various Sizes (Communal Gardens Only)	£0.00	£0.00	£0.20	
•	£0.00 £0.29	£0.00 £0.32	£0.00 £0.03	
2 Storey 4 Blocks (Communal Entrance to 1st Floor only) 2 Storey Nen Trad Correct 4 Blocks	£0.29 £0.29	£0.32 £0.32	£0.03	
2 Storey Non Trad Corner 4 Blocks	£0.29 £0.60	£0.52 £0.66	£0.03 £0.06	0
Traditional 2 Storey Corner 6 Block	£0.60 £1.03	£0.66 £1.14	£0.00	SUN
Traditional 3 Storey post war 6 Block	£1.03 £0.72	£0.80	£0.11	en
Traditional 3 Storey pre war	£0.72 £0.47		£0.08 £0.05	tlv
Traditional 3 Storey Corner 6 block		£0.52		
Trad Maisonettes Over Estate Shops	£0.47	£0.52	£0.05 °	nif
Non Trad Maisonettes Over Estate Shops	£0.48	£0.53	£0.05	<u>c</u>
Australia Houses	£0.24	£0.27	£0.03 £0.12 •	nt.
Block Maisonettes	£1.16	£1.28	£0.12 ·	< L
6-9 Storey Flats	£1.94	£2.14	£0.20 £0.22	nd
Ferensway House	£2.17	£2.39		
Acquired flats	£0.60	£0.66	£0.06	
Sheltered high rise flats	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	
Salinger House	£0.60	£0.66	£0.06	
Salinger House	£0.60	£0.66	£0.06 (
Dane View	£0.48	£0.53	£0.05	Sos
Coltman Street	£0.27	£0.29	£0.02	ซี
District Heating Schemes	00.0440	00.0440	~~~~~	
Bathurst St	£0.0449	£0.0449	£0.0000	
Rosset House	£0.0449	£0.0449	£0.0000	0
New Michael Street / Melville Street	£0.0449	£0.0449	£0.0000	
Torpoint, Millport & Woolwich	£0.0449	£0.0449	£0.0000	
Valiant Drive blocks	£0.0449	£0.0449	£0.0000	
Coniston & Kendall Houses	£0.0610	£0.0610	£0.0000	
Meter charge	£0.45	£0.90		2nd of 3 year introduction (£1.40
			I	next year).
Laundry all ashemaa				
Laundry - all schemes	C1 00	C1 00	00.00	
wash tokens	£1.00	£1.00	£0.00	
dryer tokens	£0.50	£0.50	£0.00	
Schemes				
Schemes Welbury Grove (Mental Health)	£31.23	£0.00	(£31.23)	
	£31.23 £42.00		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Ashby (LD)	£42.00 £35.10	£27.46 £22.70	(£14.54)	
Hermes (LD)	200.10	122.10	(£12.39)	
Travellare sites these are GE charges but pressed via Housing				
<u>Travellers sites</u> these are GF charges but processed via Housing	050 11	057.00	04.00	Site charges have been reviewed
Single pitch	£56.11	£57.80	£1.69	following completion of
Double pitch	£84.11	£86.64	£2.53	refurbishment works

<u>Hull City Council - Budget Pack - Annexes</u> <u>HRA Budget - 2017 / 2018</u>

Budget changes 17/18 - summary

	4	<u>Re</u> etc		ce charges /		osts of Govern blicy changes	Co	st of <u>Ch</u> uncil licy	anges in ter	ant behavio	urs <u>Cł</u>	nanges in th	e capital pro	gramme & d	capital financ	<u>cing</u>	<u>O1</u>	her change:	<u>s</u>			Н	lousing Revi	ew costs		<u>In</u>	flation		
	Budget 16/17	reclasiifcations	change in rent levels	change in property numbers	change in void levels	Pay to Stay	∃`⊊ ≶ ^{cha}	Council Tax on	Right to Buy numbers	Disrepair	Bad Debts	changes in interest rates	Lower debt repayments due	Interest savings from previous debt repayments	Interest savings from lower than expected borrowing in 16/17	Depeciation on dwelling stock	Depeciation on non- dwelling stock	Area Review	Staff costs	Recharges	Gateway properties	Other savings	Temporary project support staff	Investmnet in IT systems	Others (inc consultations with tenants)	Redundancy costs provision	General	Others	Budget 17/18
INCOME Dwelling Rent Income Charges for service and facilities Non dwelling rents Leaseholders charges for services Other fees & charges Interest on balances General Fund Transfer re Whole Community TOTAL INCOME	90,444 2,556 1,277 259 246 269 738 95,789	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	(909) 61 0 0 0 0 0 (848)	(209) 51 (13) 0 0 0 0 0 (172)	789 0 (26) 0 0 0 0 764	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 (2) 0 112 0 (22) 87	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0	0 0 0 (253) 0 (253)	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	124 (21) 0 0 0 0 (43) 60	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 3 0 0 0 0 3	0 (1) 0 0 0 15 (0) 14	90,240 2,647 1,237 259 358 32 673 95,445
EXPENDITURE Repairs & Maintenance Supervision and Management Special Services Rent, rates, taxes & other charges Provision For Doubtful Debt Capital Financing Costs Contribution to Corporate & Democratic Core TOTAL EXPENDITURE	22,431 15,187 4,562 740 1,947 54,721 295 99,882	0 6 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	(19) 0 0 (56) (76)	(480) 0 (97) 0 0 0 (577)	0 (250) 0 0 0 0 (250)	0 (13) 0 0 0 0 0 (13)	0 0 177 0 0 0 177	0 18 0 0 0 0 0 18	35 90 0 0 0 0 0 125	0 0 0 (307) 0 (307)	0 0 0 (15) 0 (15)	0 0 0 (1,084) 0 (1,084)	0 0 0 (576) 0 (576)	0 0 0 (650) (650) (650)	0 0 0 704 704 704	0 0 0 275 0 275	0 138 0 0 0 0 0 138	0 (247) 18 0 0 0 0 (229)	(125) (137) 0 0 0 0 0 (262)	194 0 0 0 0 0 0 194	(609) (112) (143) (11) 0 0 0 (874)	0 137 0 0 0 0 0 137	0 100 0 0 0 0 0 100	0 50 0 0 0 0 0 50	1,000 0 0 0 0 0 0 1,000	0 74 54 27 0 0 0 156	0 12 0 (111) (0) (0) 0 (100)	22,427 15,053 4,484 726 1,639 53,319 <u>295</u> 97,943
Net surplus / (deficit)	(4,093)	0	(848)	(96)	1,341	250	13	(177)	69	(125)	307	(237)	1,084	576	650	(704)	(275)	(138)	229	262	(194)	935	(137)	(100)	(50)	(1,000)	(153)	114	(2,498)

Budget changes 17/18 - repairs & maintenance

Day to Day Repairs	
Relet repairs	
Gas Servicing & Heating Repairs	
Planned / cyclical repairs	
Other Mechanical, Electrical & Specialist Repairs	
KWL Overheads & other costs	
Sundry other costs	
Contingency	
Provision for redundancies	







		2012-13			2013-14			2014-15			2015-16			2016-17	
	budget	<u>outturn</u>	variance	budget	outturn	variance	budget	<u>outturn</u>	variance	budge	t <u>outturn</u>	variance	budget	Proj'n	variance
	<u>£'000</u>	<u>£'000</u>	<u>£'000</u>	<u>£'000</u>	<u>£'000</u>	<u>£'000</u>	<u>£'000</u>	<u>£'000</u>	<u>£'000</u>	<u>5'00</u>	<u>000'3</u>	<u>£'000</u>	<u>000'3</u>	<u>(Nov)</u> £'000	<u>£'000</u>
Day to Day Repairs	6,297	5,788	(509)	6,000	5,982	(18)	6,000	5,987	(13)	5,994	5,970	(24)	5,885	5,885	0
Relet Repairs	4,352	3,825	(527)	4,956	4,888	(68)	4,690	4,653	(37)	5,062	4,522	(540)	5,085	4,785	(300)
Planned/Cyclical Repairs	2,645	2,603	(42)	2,220	2,059	(161)	3,677	3,654	(23)	3,07	2,589	(482)	2,962	2,912	(50)
Gas & Heating Repairs (Inc. Gas DTD)	2,483	2,324	(159)	2,352	2,394	42	2,539	2,437	(102)	2,432	2,349	(83)	2,425	2,405	(20)
Other Mechanical, Electrical & Specialist Repairs	469	374	(95)	368	440	72	721	771	50	1,202	1,208	6	897	841	(56)
Other Costs - Overheads, Vehicles, etc.	935	658	(277)	75	78	3	75	72	(3)	8	86	1	85	100	15
KWL overheads	4,392	4,471	79	4,879	4,876	(3)	4,715	4,574	(141)	4,653	4,653	0	4,653	4,567	(86)
Contingency	0	0	0	752	6	(746)	781	0	(781)	475	97	(379)	500	500	0
Project Agreement Mobilisation Provisions	2,579	2,186	(394)			0			0			0			0
	24,152	22,229	(1,923)	21,602	20,722	(880)	23,198	22,149	(1,049)	22,974	21,475	(1,499)	22,492	21,995	(497)

<u>Hull City Council - Budget Pack - Annexes</u> <u>HRA Budget - 2017 / 2018</u>

Budget changes 17/18 - management costs

		<u>R</u> et		ice charges		osts of Gove olicy change	<u>s (</u>	Council	hanges in te	nant behavio	ours <u>Cl</u>	hanges in th	ne capital pro	gramme & c	apital financ	ing						F	lousing Revi	ew costs		In	flation		
	Budget 16/17	reclasilications	change in rent levels	change in property numbers	change in void levels	tay	(<u>Policy</u> Council Tax on	Right to Buy numbers	Disrepair	Bad Debts	changes in interest rates	Lower debt repayments due	Interest savings from previous debt repayments	Interest savings from lower than expected borrowing in 16/17	Depeciation on dwelling stock	Depeciation on non- dwelling stock	Area Review	Staff costs	Recharges	Gateway properties	Other savings	Temporary project support staff	Investmnet in IT systems	Others (inc consultations with tenants)	Redundancy costs provision	General	Others	Budget 17/18
(a) supervision & management	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
Area Management Costs Area Based Spend Homesearch Other housing costs Housing Investment Services Leasehold & claims management Customer Feedback Housing Strategy Central Recharges Welfare Reform Insurance Claims Homeless Properties Past Service Pension Contributions Contingency	4,495 391 0 2,053 1,144 93 137 548 4,143 326 410 70 875 500 15,187	0 0 20 (20) 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 (34) 0 0 0 21 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 18 0 0 0 0 0 18	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		138 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 138	0 0 (67) 3 0 (80) 0 (29) 0 0 (75) 0 (247)	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	51 0 (46) 0 0 (65) (52) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 112)	0 0 84 0 0 0 54 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 73 0 0 0 0 27 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 50	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	45 0 13 10 1 1 3 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 74	(0) 0 5 (0) 0 (0) 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 12	4,730 391 0 2,100 1,138 94 139 406 4,087 298 500 70 800 300 15,053
<u>Welfare Reform</u> Pay Hardship fund Others	56 250 20 2,094	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0000	0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0		000000000000000000000000000000000000000	(29) 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0 19	0000	0 0 0	0 0 0		0 0 0 19	0 0 0 (19)	28 250 20 2.113
(b) special services																													
Sheltered Caretakers & concierge Grounds maintenance & trees Street cleaning & lighting Communal areas Joint Occasional Fund LD/MH properties Domestic Abuse Partnership	1,112 654 1,576 50 1,022 20 37 92 4,562	(6) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0		0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3 15 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		(13) 0 (11) 30 (111) 0 (23) (15) (143)		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		27 6 0 19 0 2 0		1,122 675 1,565 80 929 20 16 77
(c) rent, rates & other taxes	4,362	(0)	0	0		U	U	0	0	0	0	0	U	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	(143)	0	U	0	0	54	0	4,484
Council Tax on voids Area Offices Premises Insurance Sheltered Other premises costs	370 164 133 0 73	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	(97) 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	177 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0		0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 (12)	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	22 2 0 0 3	0 (112) 0 0	473 167 21 0 65
-	740	0	0	0	(97)	0	0	177	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(11)	0	0	0	0	27	(111)	726
(d) Corporate & Democratic Core	295		0	0			0		0			0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	205
	295 295	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	295 295
	295	v	0	U	v	U	U	v	0	U	v	U	0	0	0	U	U	0	0	0	U	U	0	U	v	U	U	0	230

<u>Hull City Council - Budget Pack - Annexes</u> <u>HRA Budget - 2017 / 2018</u>

Budget changes 17/18 - recharges

		Rent etc.	s & Service	charges / R&N		of Governme changes	Council Policy	l <u>in ter</u> beha	ges lant viours		<u>Cł</u>	hanges in t	the capital	l programr	ne & capital	financin	9							Housing F	Review c	<u>osts</u>		<u>In</u>	<u>iflation</u>		
	Budget 16/17 £'000 £'00	reclasiifcations £'000	change in rent levels	change in property £'000	change in void levels £'000	entation of Stay	Welfare reform (inc £'000	Council Tax on	Right to Buy	Disrepair 0 £'00	Bad Debts	changes in interest rates	Lower debt repayments due 000	pt	lower than expected borrowing in 16/17 00 Interest savings from	Interest savings from	Depeciation on dwelling stock	Depeciation on non- dwelling stock	Area Review	Staff Costs £'000	Recharges £'000	Gateway properties	Other savings	support staff	Temporary project	Investmnet in IT	Others (inc consultations with	Fedundancy costs provision	General	Others 000 £	Budget 17/18
(a) supervision & management																															
Finance HR IT Procurement Legal IBC Comms Property Valuations RTB Pay to Stay Rents CSC ASB Rent collection Equalities	433 186 944 23 559 86 52 278 0 0 0 0 100 0 100 847 466 36 24	0 0 0 0 (134) 10 47 0 84 0 0 0			0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 21 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 18 0 0 0 0 0 0	000000000000000000000000000000000000000			0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0	0 0 27 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	487 186 971 23 580 86 52 143 10 65 0 138 830 373 36 24
Others	111	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	(27)	0	0	(0	0	0	0	0	0	84
	4,143	6	0	0	0	0	21	0	18	0	0	0	0)	0	0	0	0	0	0 (137)	0	(52)	54	4	27	0	0	1	6	4,087
(b) special services																															
Streetscene - Grounds Maintenance & Trees Streetlighting & streetcleaning Building Cleaning	1,576 50 468	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0		0 0 0	0 0 0		0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	(11) 30 0	()	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 19	0 0 (19)	1,565 80 468
	2,094	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	(0	0	0	0	19	(19)	2,113
(c) KWL Overheads																															
Within R&M Within Capital Within Adaptations	4,592 2,250 353	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0)	0	0	0	0	0 0 0	0 (0 (; 0	125) 250) 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	(0	0	0	0	0	0 0 0	4,467 2,000 353
	7,195	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0)	0	0	0	0	0	0 (375)	0	0	(0	0	0	0	0	0	6,821
Total recharges	13,432	6	0	0	0	0	21	0	18	0	0	0	0)	0	0	0	0	0	0 (512)	0	(32)	54	4	27	0	0	19	(13)	13,021
		I			I		I	I		I	I							I						I			I				

House Mark data

Annex 24 (1)

(a) HouseMark Core Benchmarking data - compared to all

Cost Measures	2014/15	benchmark	2015/16	benchmark	2016 Bank	Comple	2015 Dook	
			£334.11	1	2016 Rank 41	Sample 259	<u>2015 Rank</u> 37	J
Total cost per property of housing management Total cost per property of lettings	£327.40 £77.47	1	£334.11 £79.57	3	164	258 257	155	
Total cost per property of arrears/rent collection	£100.76	1	£104.86	<u> </u>	42	257	38	
Total cost per property of tenancy management	£87.01	2	£89.96	2	83	257	78	
Total cost per property of resident involvement	£20.83	1	£20.05	1	14	257	15	
Total cost per property of anti-social behaviour	£41.33	1	£39.67	1	56	257	59	
Total cost per property of estate services	£127.26	2	£122.16	2	79	258	83	
Total cost/prop. of major works & cyclical maint.	£1,422	2	£1,394	2	110	258	120	
Total cost per property of repairs and void works	£647.07	1	£673.60	1	49	258	34	1
LETTINGS PIs			2015/16	benchmark	2016 Rank	Sample	<u>2015 Rank</u>	
Average time in days to re-let empty properties			27.8	3	141	251	166	1
Rent loss due to empty properties (voids) as a percentage	of rent due		1.62%	4	209	257	218	1
			0045/40			<u> </u>		
ARREARS/RENT COLLECTION PIS			2015/16	benchmark	2016 Rank	Sample	2015 Rank	
Percentage of rent collected (excluding current arrears bro	ought forward)		99.53%	3	145	257	169	
Current tenant rent arrears as % of rent due			2.04%	2	81	257	63	
Former tenant rent arrears as % of rent due			1.01% 0.57%	2	105	256	115	
Gross arrears written off as % of rent due			0.57%	3	179	257	181	1
TENANCY MANAGEMENT PIS			2015/16	benchmark	2016 Rank	Sample	2015 Rank	
% of respondents satisfied with overall service provided			87.0%	3	116	219	89	
Tenancy Turnover			8.54%	3	165	256	208	
			0.0170	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
RESIDENT INVOLVEMENT PIS			2015/16	benchmark	2016 Rank	Sample	2015 Rank	
% satisfied that their views are being listened to and acted	upon		68.0%	3	134	209	106	
ASB PIs			2015/16	hanahmank	0010 Denk	Comula	0015 Deels	
			2015/16	benchmark	2016 Rank	Sample	2015 Rank	
Direct cost per case of Anti-Social Behaviour			£315.12	1	18	232	19	- 1
Percentage of anti-social behaviour cases successfully res	solved		87.2%	4	152	206	138	
ESTATE SERVICES PIs			2015/16	benchmark	2016 Rank	Sample	2015 Rank	
% satisfied with their neighbourhood as a place to live			79.3%	4	194	214	136	
U								
MAJOR WORKS & CYCLICAL MAINTENANCE PIS			2015/16	benchmark	2016 Rank	<u>Sample</u>	<u>2015 Rank</u>	
% satisfied with the overall quality of their home			80.9%	4	162	209	144	
Percentage of dwellings failing to meet the Decent Homes	Standard		1.13%	4	220	253	230	1
Average SAP rating of self-contained dwellings			63.9	4	236	241	237	1
Landlord Gas Safety Record %			99.86%	4	221	255	237	1
			0015/10		0010 Dect	Commit	0015 Deal	
RESPONSIVE REPAIRS & VOID WORKS PIs	und un aliata :		2015/16	benchmark	2016 Rank	Sample	2015 Rank	
% satisfied with the way their Landlord deals with repairs a		ce	84.7%	2	75	208	88	
Average number of calendar days taken to complete repai	15		7.7	2	86	238	87	
Average cost of a responsive repair			£115.21	2	99 102	254	124	1
Percentage of repairs completed at the first visit Average cost of a void repair			91.8%	3	103	193	112	
Average cost of a vold repair Average re-let time in days (standard re-lets)			£2,819 27.8	3	159 141	256 251	132	
Rent loss due to empty properties (voids) as a percentage	of ront due		1.62%	<u> </u>	209	25 I 257	166 218	

	Key
1	upper quartile (top 25%)
2	upper middle quartile (top 50%)
3	lower middle quartile (bottom 50%)
4	lower Quartile (bottom 25%)

Direction	of Travel
\leftrightarrow	No change
1	Improving
•	Worsening

HOUSEMARK CORE BENCHMARKING QUARTILES 2015/16

Cost Measures	2015/16	Upper	Median	Lower
Total cost per property of housing management	£334.11	£365.99	£448.43	£553.62
Total cost per property of lettings	£79.57	£50.96	£68.83	£87.77
Total cost per property of arrears/rent collection	£104.86	£111.51	£140.90	£172.80
Total cost per property of tenancy management	£89.96	£81.64	£108.00	£152.45
Total cost per property of resident involvement	£20.05	£40.93	£59.28	£80.74
Total cost per property of anti-social behaviour	£39.67	£43.15	£59.03	£78.81
Total cost per property of estate services	£122.16	£112.70	£168.76	£231.39
Total cost/prop. of major works & cyclical maint.	£1,394	£1,278	£1,497	£1,933
Total cost per property of repairs and void works	£673.60	£710.74	£802.29	£925.34
LETTINGS PIs	2015/16	Upper	Median	Lower
Average time in days to re-let empty properties	28	19.1	25.0	32.7
Rent loss due to empty properties (voids) as a percentage of rent due	1.62%	0.59%	0.90%	1.39%
ARREARS/RENT COLLECTION PIs	2015/16	Upper	Median	Lower
Percentage of rent collected (excluding current arrears brought forward)	99.5%	99.93%	99.60%	99.18%
Current tenant rent arrears as % of rent due	2.04%	1.83%	2.62%	3.81%
Former tenant rent arrears as % of rent due	1.01%	0.75%	1.20%	1.76%
Gross arrears written off as % of rent due	0.57%	0.21%	0.39%	0.68%
TENANCY MANAGEMENT PIS	2015/16	Upper	Median	Lower
% of respondents satisfied with overall service provided	87.0%	90.6%	87.7%	83.2%
Tenancy Turnover	8.54%	5.79%	7.44%	9.35%
		•		•
RESIDENT INVOLVEMENT PIS	2015/16	Upper	Median	Lower
% satisfied that their views are being listened to and acted upon	68.0%	76.0%	71.9%	65.9%
ASB PIs	2015/16	Upper	Median	Lower
Direct cost per case of Anti-Social Behaviour	£315.12	£499.74	£724.18	£1,387.29
Percentage of anti-social behaviour cases successfully resolved	87.2%	99.4%	95.6%	86.8%
ESTATE SERVICES PIS	2015/16	Upper	Median	Lower
% satisfied with their neighbourhood as a place to live	79.3%	89.0%	86.0%	82.9%
MAJOR WORKS & CYCLICAL MAINTENANCE PIS	2015/16	Upper	Median	Lower
% satisfied with the overall quality of their home	80.9%	88.8%	85.9%	81.4%
Percentage of dwellings failing to meet the Decent Homes Standard	1.13%	0.00%	0.00%	0.16%
Average SAP rating of self-contained dwellings	63.9	72.3	70.4	68.2
Landlord Gas Safety Record %	99.86%	100%	100%	99.95%
RESPONSIVE REPAIRS & VOID WORKS PIs	2015/16	Upper	Median	Lower
% satisfied with the way their Landlord deals with repairs and maintenance	1.1%	86.80%	82.15%	76.55%
Average number of calendar days taken to complete repairs	7.7	6.8	8.6	10.6
Average cost of a responsive repair	£115.21	£103.98	£123.70	£157.55
Percentage of repairs completed at the first visit	<mark>91.8%</mark>	95.7%	92.1%	87.3%
Average cost of a void repair	£2 819	£1 837	£2 115	£3 231

	Кеу						
1	upper quartile (top 25%)						
2	upper middle quartile (top 50%)						
3	lower middle quartile (bottom 50%)						
4	lower Quartile (bottom 25%)						

£2,819

£1,837

£2,445

Direction of Travel					
↔ No change					
1	Improving				
¥	Worsening				

£3,231

Average cost of a void repair

House Mark data

Annex 24 (2)

(b) HouseMark Core Benchmarking data - compared to orgns with >15k units

Cost Measures	2014/15	benchmark	2015/16	benchmark	<u>2016 Rank</u>	Sample	<u>2015 Rank</u>	
Total cost per property of housing management	£327.40	1	£334.11	1	10	38	10	\$1↔
Total cost per property of lettings	£77.47	3	£79.57	3	28	38	27	1⊷⁄2
Total cost per property of arrears/rent collection	£100.76	1	£104.86	2	11	38	10	1⊷⁄2
Total cost per property of tenancy management	£87.01	2	£89.96	2	19	38	17	‡+ ∕
Total cost per property of resident involvement Total cost per property of anti-social behaviour	£20.83 £41.33	1	£20.05 £39.67	1	2 8	38	3 10	114 114
Total cost per property of anti-social behaviour	£41.33 £127.26	2	£122.16	2	。 14	38 38	10	+17 114
Total cost/prop. of major works & cyclical maint.	£1,422	2	£1,394	2	14	38	20	+:¥ ‡†∕
Total cost per property of repairs and void works	£647.07	1	£673.60	1	9	38	7	1+4
		•						
LETTINGS PIS			2015/16	benchmark	2016 Rank	Sample	2015 Rank	
Average time in days to re-let empty properties			27.8	3	20	36	24	114
Rent loss due to empty properties (voids) as a percentage of	of rent due		1.62%	4	31	38	35	114
			1.01/0		•••			
ARREARS/RENT COLLECTION PIS			2015/16	benchmark	2016 Rank	Sample	2015 Rank	
Percentage of rent collected (excluding current arrears brou	aht forward)		99.53%	3	20	38	23	\$1 2
Current tenant rent arrears as % of rent due	ight for ward)		2.04%	2	13	38	9	1+4
Former tenant rent arrears as % of rent due			1.01%	2	14	38	15	11 2
Gross arrears written off as % of rent due			0.57%	3	24	38	26	*** \$1 2
Closs allears whiteh on as 16 of tent due			0.37%	3	24	50	20	+ : ¥
TENANCY MANAGEMENT PIS			2015/16	benchmark	2016 Rank	Sample	<u>2015 Rank</u>	
% of respondents satisfied with overall service provided			87.0%	3	17	<u>30</u>	12	1+4
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						30		
Tenancy Turnover			8.54%	3	23	38	32	\$† 2
RESIDENT INVOLVEMENT PIS			2015/16	benchmark	2016 Rank	Sample	<u>2015 Rank</u>	
% satisfied that their views are being listened to and acted u	noa		68.0%	3	21	29	18	1+4
	1							
ASB PIs			2015/16	benchmark	2016 Rank	Sample	2015 Rank	
Direct cost per case of Anti-Social Behaviour			£315.12	1	5	33	6	114
Percentage of anti-social behaviour cases successfully reso	lved		87.2%	3	20	28	18	1+4
			07.270					•••
ESTATE SERVICES PIS			2015/16	benchmark	2016 Rank	Sample	<u>2015 Rank</u>	
% satisfied with their neighbourhood as a place to live			79.3%	4	30	<u>31</u>	21	1+
% satisfied with their heighbourhood as a place to live			79.570	7	30	51	21	+1 2
MAJOR WORKS & CYCLICAL MAINTENANCE PIS			2015/16	benchmark	2016 Rank	Sample	<u>2015 Rank</u>	
% satisfied with the overall quality of their home			80.9%	4	25	28	20	1+
Percentage of dwellings failing to meet the Decent Homes S	Standard		1.13%	3	33	37	36	11 2
Average SAP rating of self-contained dwellings	Stanuaru		63.9	4	33 37	37	37	+++⊻ ‡†↔
			99.86%					+1₩ \$1₽
Landlord Gas Safety Record %			99.86%	4	31	38	35	↓ : Z
RESPONSIVE REPAIRS & VOID WORKS PIS			2015/16	benchmark	2016 Rank	Sample	<u>2015 Rank</u>	
% satisfied with the way their Landlord deals with repairs and maintenance			84.7%	2	12	29	14	\$1 2
Average number of calendar days taken to complete repairs			7.7	2	12	32	14	+++ \$12
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	>		£115.21	2	15	32 37	18	+17 \$12
Average cost of a responsive repair								
Percentage of repairs completed at the first visit			91.8%	3	17	29	19	11 2
Average cost of a void repair			£2,819	4	29	38	23	1⊷⁄2
Average re-let time in days (standard re-lets)			27.8	3	20	36	24	11 2
Rent loss due to empty properties (voids) as a percentage of	of rent due		1.62%	4	31	38	35	‡† ∕

	Key
1	upper quartile (top 25%)
2	upper middle quartile (top 50%)
3	lower middle quartile (bottom 50%)
4	lower Quartile (bottom 25%)

Direction of Travel					
÷	No change				
1	Improving				
¥	Worsening				

HOUSEMARK CORE BENCHMARKING QUARTILES 2015/16

Cost Measures	2015/16	Upper	Median	Lower
Total cost per property of housing management	£334.11	£334.41	£385.05	£473.62
Total cost per property of lettings	£79.57	£48.66	£63.78	£81.28
Total cost per property of arrears/rent collection	£104.86	£103.55	£126.21	£150.31
Total cost per property of tenancy management	£89.96	£75.80	£90.93	£120.35
Total cost per property of resident involvement	£20.05	£33.59	£49.30	£61.18
Total cost per property of anti-social behaviour	£39.67	£42.61	£59.14	£71.03
Total cost per property of estate services	£122.16	£109.47	£135.85	£226.97
Total cost/prop. of major works & cyclical maint.	£1,394	£1,285	£1,422	£1,771
Total cost per property of repairs and void works	£673.60	£677.85	£740.56	£884.37
LETTINGS PIs	2015/16	Upper	Median	Lower
Average time in days to re-let empty properties	28	19.9	25.5	32.9
Rent loss due to empty properties (voids) as a percentage of rent due	1.62%	0.70%	0.98%	1.42%
Them loss due to empty properties (volus) as a percentage of tent due	1.02 /0	0.70%	0.98%	1.42/0
ARREARS/RENT COLLECTION PIs	2015/16	Upper	Median	Lower
Percentage of rent collected (excluding current arrears brought forward)	99.5%	99.89%	99.55%	99.14%
Current tenant rent arrears as % of rent due	2.04%	1.95%	2.50%	3.09%
Former tenant rent arrears as % of rent due	1.01%	0.85%	1.27%	1.73%
Gross arrears written off as % of rent due	0.57%	0.24%	0.46%	0.71%
TENANCY MANAGEMENT PIS	2015/16	Upper	Median	Lower
% of respondents satisfied with overall service provided	87.0%	91.3%	88.0%	83.9%
Tenancy Turnover	8.54%	6.85%	8.00%	9.46%
RESIDENT INVOLVEMENT PIS	2015/16	Uppor	Median	Lower
% satisfied that their views are being listened to and acted upon	68.0%	Upper 74.9%	72.5%	68.0%
satisfied that their views are being listened to and acted upon	00.0%	74.9%	72.5%	06.0%
ASB PIs	2015/16	Upper	Median	Lower
Direct cost per case of Anti-Social Behaviour	£315.12	£388.61	£526.56	£1,059.20
Percentage of anti-social behaviour cases successfully resolved	87.2%	100.0%	96.1%	84.5%
ESTATE SERVICES PIs	2015/16	Upper	Median	Lower
% satisfied with their neighbourhood as a place to live	79.3%	88.5%	86.0%	83.8%
76 satisfied with their heighbourhood as a place to live	13.3 /8	00.370	80.078	03.070
MAJOR WORKS & CYCLICAL MAINTENANCE PIs	2015/16	Upper	Median	Lower
% satisfied with the overall quality of their home	80.9%	88.2%	85.7%	83.0%
Percentage of dwellings failing to meet the Decent Homes Standard	1.13%	0.00%	0.00%	17.00%
Average SAP rating of self-contained dwellings	63.9	72.3	70.9	68.0
Landlord Gas Safety Record %	99.86%	100%	99.99%	99.94%
				•
RESPONSIVE REPAIRS & VOID WORKS PIs	2015/16	Upper	Median	Lower
% satisfied with the way their Landlord deals with repairs and maintenance	1.1%	87.8%	83.0%	76.0%
Average number of calendar days taken to complete repairs	7.7	6.0	8.2	10.4
Average cost of a responsive repair	£115.21	£111.08	£127.79	£152.28
Percentage of repairs completed at the first visit	<mark>91.8%</mark>	94.8%	92.9%	88.3%
Average cost of a void repair	£2,819	£1,638	£2,189	£2,815

	Кеу			
1 upper quartile (top 25%)				
2	upper middle quartile (top 50%)			
3	lower middle quartile (bottom 50%)			
4	lower Quartile (bottom 25%)			

Direction of Travel						
↔ No change						
1	Improving					
¥	Worsening					

House Mark data

(c) HouseMark Core Benchmarking data - property data compared to all

2015/16

Cost Measures	2014/15	benchmark	2015/16	benchmark	2016 Rank	Sample	<u>2015 Rank</u>	
Total cost/prop. of major works - service provision	£1,058.31	2	£1,049.82	2	115	258	122	ŧ
Total cost/prop. of major works - management	£63.13	1	£63.83	1	63	258	61	ŧ
Total cost/prop. of cyclical maintenance - service provision	£272.74	3	£252.73	3	138	258	160	ŧ
Total cost/prop. of cyclical maintenance - management	£27.50	1	£27.77	1	47	258	46	ŧ
Total cost/prop. of responsive repairs - service provision	£317.51	1	£354.01	2	67	258	32	ŧ
Total cost/prop. of responsive repairs - management	£45.14	1	£46.45	1	7	258	6	ŧ
Total cost/prop. of void works - service provision	£252.54	4	£240.78	4	197	258	204	ŧ
Total cost/prop. of void works - management	£31.87	2	£32.37	2	81	258	77	ŧ
Average cost of a void repair	£2,522.52	3	£2,819.44	3	159	256	132	ŧ

2015/16 Quartiles

Крі	Upper	Median	Lower
Total cost/prop. of major works - service provision	815.92	1,072.11	1,432.33
Total cost/prop. of major works - management	64.36	98.40	135.23
Total cost/prop. of cyclical maintenance - service provision	160.62	243.25	314.85
Total cost/prop. of cyclical maintenance - management	32.91	49.60	68.92
Total cost/prop. of responsive repairs - service provision	352.94	428.40	516.77
Total cost/prop. of responsive repairs - management	91.00	121.34	170.07
Total cost/prop. of void works - service provision	149.43	187.82	238.39
Total cost/prop. of void works - management	29.64	40.70	59.51
Average cost of a void repair	1,836.73	2,444.51	3,231.42

MAJOR WORKS & CYCLICAL MAINTENANCE PIS	2015/16	benchmark	2016 Rank	Sample	<u>2015 Rank</u>	
% satisfied with the overall quality of their home	80.9%	4	162	209	144	ŧ
Percentage of dwellings failing to meet the Decent Homes Standard	1.1%	4	220	253	230	ŧ
Average SAP rating of self-contained dwellings	63.9	4	236	241	237	
Landlord Gas Safety Record %	99.86%	4	221	255	237	ŧ
RESPONSIVE REPAIRS & VOID WORKS PIs	2015/16	benchmark	2016 Rank	<u>Sample</u>	<u>2015 Rank</u>	
% satisfied with the way their Landlord deals with repairs and maintenance	84.7%	2	75	208	88	ŧ
Average number of calendar days taken to complete repairs	7.7	2	86	238	87	
Average cost of a responsive repair	£115.21	2	99	254	124	ŧ
Percentage of repairs completed at the first visit	91.8%	3	103	193	112	ŧ
Average cost of a void repair	£2,819	3	159	256	132	ŧ
Average re-let time in days (standard re-lets)	28	3	141	251	166	ŧ
Rent loss due to empty properties (voids) as a percentage of rent due	1.62%	4	209	257	218	ŧ
					-	
MAJOR WORKS & CYCLICAL MAINTENANCE PIS	2015/16	Upper	Median	Lower		
% satisfied with the overall quality of their home	80.9%	88.8%	85.9%	81.4%		
Percentage of dwellings failing to meet the Decent Homes Standard	1.13%	0.00%	0.00%	0.16%		
Average SAP rating of self-contained dwellings	63.9	72.3	70.4	68.2		
Landlord Gas Safety Record %	99.86%	100.00%	100.00%	99.95%		

RESPONSIVE REPAIRS & VOID WORKS PIs	2015/16	Upper	Median	Lower
% satisfied with the way their Landlord deals with repairs and maintenance	84.7%	86.80%	82.15%	76.55%
Average number of calendar days taken to complete repairs	7.7	6.8	8.6	10.6
Average cost of a responsive repair	£115.21	£103.98	£123.70	£157.55
Percentage of repairs completed at the first visit	91.8%	95.7%	92.1%	87.3%
Average cost of a void repair	£2,819	£1,837	£2,445	£3,231

Risk Register



			Service/Project/Area:					HRA Fina	sks - 2017 / 2018 onwards					
Ref Risk name	Budget Risk category	HCC strategic risk cat.	Risk Description	Risk Owner	Date Identified	Date Last I Review	Impact (I)	Likelihood (L)	Risk Score (1 25) (I x L)	Existing Controls	Additional Treatment Actions	Date Action Due	Action Status (R , A or	Action Ov
				Governme	ent Policy Ch	anges or C	alculatio	<u>ns</u>					G)	
1 Government I Directions	Rent Changes in Government policy	Fail to manage finances	Government Rent Policy Following on from the significant change in Government Policy with a 4 year imposition of 1% rent reductions there is a significant risk that rents will not revert to CPI+1% in 20/21. To mitigate this risk all future modelling assumes rents will increase by CPI only in future years. This policy is wholly outside our control and influence.	Dave Richmond	01/11/15	17/08/16	Major	Likely	16	This is not within the direct control of the Authority & whilst there <u>may</u> be national consultation on any proposals we may unable to influence the final decision	Additional lobbying of Government should this appear likely or possible.			
2 Continued rer reductions	nt Changes in Government policy	Fail to manage finances	Continued rent reductions beyond 5 years the Government might continue the 1% rent reductions beyond 19/20. This policy is wholly outside our control and influence.	Dave Richmond	01/11/15	17/08/16 Ca	atastrophic	Possible	15	This is not within the direct control of the Authority & whilst there <u>may</u> be national consultation on any proposals we may unable to influence the final decision	Additional lobbying of Government should this . appear likely or possible.			
3 Additional rer reductions	nt Changes in Government policy	Fail to manage finances	Additional rent reductions above 1% the Government might increase the 1% rent reductions to a higher figure. This policy is wholly outside our control and influence.	Dave Richmond	01/11/15	17/08/16 Ca	atastrophic	Unlikely	10	This is not within the direct control of the Authority & whilst there <u>may</u> be national consultation on any proposals we may unable to influence the final decision	Additional lobbying of Government should this . appear likely or possible.			
4 SHVP levy	Changes in Government policy	Fail to manage finances	Sale of Higher Value Properties calculations The Government intends to issue an estimate of the money we were expected to raise through this policy and to require us to pay that amount irrespective of our actual sales receipts. Regulations have not yet been published and therefore at this stage it is impossible to make a reasonable estimate of the impact but Shelter produced an estimate across Authorities that suggested we could be faced with a bill for £15m per annum (£1m per annum is budgeted). It is thus a material risk that the Authority will be financially substantially worse off. Sufficient properties will need to be sold to raise receipts to achieve the quantum required and this could – if the number is material enough – require us to sell a significant number of properties as they become void with consequent impacts on our ability to service underlying housing demand. Moreover, significant sales could have a material impact on the wider housing market in the area if the volume is material. In the meantime, the Government (Autumn Statement 2016) announced funding for a large scale regional RtB pilot and the delay in implementation of the SHVP levy until 1/4/19 at the earliest. Included within the bill is a statement that 'The regulations may provide for assumptions to be made in making a calculation, whether or not those assumptions are, or are likely to be, borne out by events.'	Dave Richmond	01/11/15	17/08/16 Ca	atastrophic	Likely	20	This is not within the direct control of the Authority & whilst there <u>may</u> be national consultation on any proposals we may unable to influence the final decision	Additional lobbying of Government. Lobbying in I relation to the principle has been wholly unsuccessful t date.			
5 Debt Cap	Changes in Government policy	Fail to manage finances	Debt Cap That the Government may introduce mandatory repayment of debt over and above that required following RTB sales. Whilst some headroom presently exists that will be utilised in the medium term and any such changes could force the automatic repayment of debt thus eroding reserves and potentially placing the HRA into deficit.	Dave Richmond	01/11/15	17/08/16	Major	Possible	12	This is not within the direct control of the Authority & whilst there <u>may</u> be national consultation on any proposals we may unable to influence the final decision	Additional lobbying of Government. Some headroom presently exists but this is projected to be used in the medium term.			
6 Reserves sequestration	Changes in Government policy	Fail to manage finances	Reserves Following on from the levies on income (Pay to Stay) and asset values (Sale of High Value Properties) the Government may further decide to sequester a proportion of reserves.	Dave Richmond	01/11/15	17/08/16	Major	Unlikely	8	This is not within the direct control of the Authority & whilst there <u>may</u> be national consultation on any proposals we may unable to influence the final decision	Additional lobbying of Government I.			
	· .				Tenant c	hoices								

	No plans/not started or actions not working. Still at Significant Risk
•	Plans behind schedule. Still at Some Risk
~	Plans on track. Risk being controlled

Risk Register



	Service/Project/Area:								HRA Fina	ancial Ri	sks - 2017 / 2018 onwards				
Risk Re No.	Risk name	Budget Risk category	HCC strategic risk cat.	Risk Description	Risk Owner	Date Identified	Date Last Review	Impact (I)	Likelihood (L)	Risk Score (1 25) (I x L)	e Existing Controls	Additional Treatment Actions	Date Action Due	Action Status (R, A or	Action Owner
	7 RtB sales	Others	Fail to manage finances	Right to Buy Sales Additional Government publicity of the scheme is reularly seen with quarterly or thereabouts marketing initiatives. Fixed Term Tenancies are also likely to exacerbate RTBs over the medium term. Increased RTB sales are detrimental to the Authorities finances as they reduce net income and we do not retain the additional receipts for day to day operations.	Dave Richmond	01/11/15	17/08/16	Major	Possible	12	This is wholly outside our ability to influence. The RTB process is a statutory one which we must follow with very few properties that can be excluded. Government publicity drives are likely to increase.			G	
	3 Larger Properties	Others	Fail to manage finances	Desirability of larger properties Impacts of the benefits cap mean that 4 bedroom properties may be unaffordable to people on benefits either through not having help with their rent or under-occupying and facing bedroom tax issues. Moreover, tenants not paying benefits are more likely to be affected by the Pay to Stay requirements, to be charged Market Rent and to exercise RTBs. It may thus be that remaining 4 bed properties especially – and 3 bed properties to an extent – become highly problematic to let.	Dave Richmond	01/11/15	17/08/16	Major	Likely	16	How tenants perceive the desirability of larger properties will ultimately be a reflection of factors outside our control. To mitigate this we are considering amendments to the lettings policies to ensure we do no exclude people who may wish to let properties, will reflect changing demand in stock options appraisals and choices of properties we decide to sell to raise the money to pay the levy on Sale of Higher Value Properties.	t			
					Welfare Refo	orm and Ter	nants acc	cess to be	nefits					1	
	Benefits Cap	Welfare Reform	Fail to manage finances	Benefits Cap The lowering of the benefits cap to £20k per household (£13.4k for a single person) will make a number of properties unaffordable and probably see increased difficulties in collecting rent. We expect between 300 and 500 families to be initially affected but data from DWP isn't wholly reliable at this juncture.	Dave Richmond	01/11/15	17/08/16	Moderate	Almost Certain	15	This is wholly outside our ability to influence directly. Tenants who may be at risk have been initially identifie and offered assistance, signposting etc. where we can. Additionally work is being undertaken with DWP to highlight cases most at risk so they can be supported into options to enter training and employment. Whilst these may assist they are unlikely to make a material dent in the overall scale of the problem.	k			
1	Universal Credit	Welfare Reform	Fail to manage finances	Universal credit Universal credit Universal credit commences in Feb 2016 in the area with tenants receiving full allowances and having to make rent payments to the Council directly. This will inevitably increase transaction costs and increase the incidences of bad debts. Experience from other authority areas indicates that there will be a sizeable cohort of tenants who will make no attempt to pay their rent and – whilst we have the ability to have rent paid directly to us by DWP after a period – the additional workload and bad debts may significantly exceed budget provisions.	Dave Richmond	01/11/15	17/08/16	Moderate	Almost Certain	15	Additional staffing resources are in place and a number of interventions to assist tenants including help with mutual exchange, money advice, help to claim DHPs. However, these interventions are probably likely to be inadequate given the scale of the challenge ahead.				
1	Local Housing Allowances	Welfare Reform	Fail to manage finances	Local Housing Allowances The Government intends that new tenants will be subject to a cap on the amount they can claim in Housing Benefit equal to the Local Housing Allowance in future. This comes in from 1/4/19 based on new tenancies taker up from 1/4/16 from those on Housing Benefit (i.e. does not affect those tenants at 31/3/16) but for all tenants in Supported Housing or recieving the Housing Element of Universal Credit irrespective of when their tenancy commenced. For single people under the age of 35 this will be at the shared accommodation rate (excl Supported Housing). Potentially there are nearly 5,700 properties where the rent plus service charges are greater than the Local Housing Allowance with an annual potential gap of between £1.3m and £1.8m depending on the age of the occupant. Some of these properties will already be affected by Bedroom Tax issues and it will take between 5 & 10 years for the majority of such properties to be affected (i.e. for the tenant to change). This impact includes pensioner households.		17/08/16	17/08/16	Moderate	Almost Certain	15	This is wholly outside our ability to directly influence. To mitigate this we will be looking at amendments to lettings policies to ensure we do not exclude people who may wish to rent our properties and can afford to do so. However this client group, especially those under the age of 35, will have little or no options other than access to employment or training.				

	No plans/not started or actions not working. Still at Significant Risk
•	Plans behind schedule. Still at Some Risk
~	Plans on track. Risk being controlled

Risk Register



	Service/Project/Area:								HRA Fina	incial Ri	sks - 2017 / 2018 onwards					
Risk Ref No.	Risk name	Budget Risk category	HCC strategic risk cat.	Risk Description	Risk Owner	Date Identified	Date Last Review	Impact (I)	Likelihood (L)	Risk Score (1 25) (I x L)	Existing Controls	Additional Treatment Actions	Date Action Due	Action A Status (R , A or G)	Action Owner	
1:	2 18-21 year olds	Welfare Reform	Fail to manage finances	Benefits for 18-21 year olds From April 2017 housing benefit will be restricted for this group of tenants and they will only receive it by exception – for example if they are vulnerable or have children. There are presently around 500 single people in this age range actively bidding on properties at any one time. This may lead to either an inability of existing tenants to afford to pay their rent, a reduced ability to let properties (& thus increasing voids) for those properties most favoured by this group or a combination of the two.	Dave Richmond	17/08/16	17/08/16	Moderate	Likely	12	This is wholly outside our ability to directly influence. There are no current mitigations available for this client group (except those who are defined as vulnerable or have children).					
1:	Bad Debts	Welfare Reform	Fail to manage finances	Bad Debts The combination of welfare changes – the Benefits Cap, Universal Credit, the Local Housing Allowance – may place significant pressures on the ability of tenants on benefits to afford to pay their rents and service charges as they fall due. Early indications from those tenants on Universal Credit are far from encouraging with c 80% in initial arrears. In this context we may see significant increases in the costs of bad debts.		17/08/16	17/08/16	Major	Likely	16	Additional staffing resources are in place and a number of interventions to assist tenants including help with mutual exchange, money advice, help to claim DHPs. However, these interventions are probably likely to be inadequate given the scale of the challenge ahead.					
1.	Welfare Reform	Welfare Reform	Fail to manage finances	Welfare Reform (General) Challenges around Welfare Reform result in the service being overwhelmed by changes required to enact legislation, responding to tenants queries or increased workload as other tenants support mechanisms are reduced through other public sector savings (including within Hull CC) and being unable to recruit staff to deal with the volume of work generated.	Dave Richmond	15/01/13	17/08/16	Major	Likely	16	Additional staffing resources are in place and a number of interventions to assist tenants including help with mutual exchange, money advice, help to claim DHPs. However, these interventions are probably likely to be inadequate given the scale of the challenge ahead.	Jam jar accounts in place to help those impacted by universal credit. Additionally monitoring of other authorities to be fed into detailed planning for implementation.				
					Housin	g & Plannin	g Act imp	olications	1					· · ·		
1	Lifetime tenancies	Welfare Reform	Fail to manage finances	Lifetime tenancies The requirement for new tenancies to be offered on a fixed term basis (with an expectation that 5 years would be the default period but potentially up to 10 years being possible) combined with the concomitant requirement to review the tenancies at the end of their term (with an expectation that we should be encouraging into home ownership those that can afford it) will lead to greater turnover in stock in the longer term. Moreover it increases the risk that tenants will view our properties as more transitional and thus will be less likely to invest in them or to take care of them.	Dave Richmond	17/08/16	17/08/16	Moderate	Possible	9	This is not within the direct control of the Authority & whilst there will be national consultation on the technica aspects of the proposals we may unable to influence the final decision. It is likely that that outcome of this wi be driven by explicit regulations. There may be scope a the point of the review period at the end of the tenancy to influence whether tenancies are renewed but that would only be pertinent from 2022/23 onwards.	be updated to reflect the I legislative changes and to				
10	SHVP - physical sale of properties	Others	Fail to manage finances	Sale of Higher Value Properties: Physical sale of properties Should the number of properties required to be sold be significant then we will need to devise an appropriate procedure to sell properties with the risk that: (1) Properties may take longer to sell than anticipated leading to an increase in voids whilst the sale process takes place (2) The methodology chosen to sell and market properties may be more expensive than expected (3) The location, number and type of properties sold may impact upon the wider city wide housing market leading to insufficient funds being raised to fund the levy.	Nic Harne	17/08/16	17/08/16	Moderate	Likely	12	No current existing controls as new policy yet to be introduced.	Explore options for sale once the details set out in the Housing and Planning Bill are clearer. Number of properties to be sold to be derived from the yet to be issued Regulations by the secretary of state.	3			
					Stock cond	lition and un	derlying	data integ	<u>irity</u>							

	No plans/not started or actions not working. Still at Significant Risk
•	Plans behind schedule. Still at Some Risk
~	Plans on track. Risk being controlled

Risk Register



	Service/Project/Area:							HRA Fina	sks - 2017 / 2018 onwards					
Risk Ref Risk name No.	Budget Risk category	HCC strategic risk cat.	Risk Description	Risk Owner	Date Identified	Date Last Review	Impact (I)	Likelihood (L)	Risk Score (1 25) (I x L)	Existing Controls	Additional Treatment Actions	Date Action Due	Action Status (R, A or	Action Owner
17 Stock condition info	Standards	Fail to manage finances	That the stock condition information we hold is inaccurate or an insufficient number of surveys are completed, leading to a need to spend more or less than is needed to maintain the stock appropriately.	Mark Robinson	01/12/11	17/08/16	Moderate	Possible	9	The contract management function within the Housing service regularly reviews and maintains the asset database allowing regular and accretive changes to be made to the underlying stock condition information, which is underpinned by periodic contractor surveys. The volume of stock condition surveys needs to be increased.			(6)	
				H	lousing Syst	tems upo	dates							
18 IT Systems Capacity	Others	Fail to manage finances	Housing systems The multiplicity of changes that are taking place that require major changes to the IT system could materially impact on our ability to inform tenants and collect appropriate levels of rent should they not be successful, resources to undertake the work are not available or the software not perform as expected These include (1) the need to implement systems to capture and process pay to stay information alongside the need to separate income received (2) the need to capture significant amounts of data from DWP and other agencies on tenants (for example around Universal Credit information) (3) the need to develop new electronic data management and information storage systems leading to loss of income or efficiency		17/08/16	17/08/16	Moderate	Possible	9	IT exists in a structured and controlled environment but there will be significant calls on the limited resources that are available which will have to be balanced with other (non housing) projects at times. HRA funding to employ consultants is available (but Council wide constraints on the use of consultants may mean that the ability to access such resources is constrained).				
				9	Other operat	tional iss	sues							
19 Empty Properties	Overspending	Fail to manage finances	Empty Properties That (1) The end to end time for turning round empty properties continues to decline with a consequent loss of income (HouseMark benchmarking indicates average time to relet is third quartile and the overall numbers are increasing) (2) That the cost of repairing void properties is not reduced (HouseMark benchmarking indicates cost of service provision is lower quartile)	Mark Robinson	17/08/16	17/08/16	Moderate	Possible	9	There is a heavy onus on the contract management function within the Housing service to ensure that the appointed providers of this work deliver to the expected quality and timeframes within the budgets that are available.				
20 Property spend	Overspending	Fail to manage finances	That spend on properties (capital & repairs and maintenance) is not contained within the sums available.	Mark Robinson	01/12/11	17/08/16	Moderate	Possible	9	There is a heavy onus on the contract management function within the Housing service to ensure that the appointed providers of this work deliver to the expected quality within the budgets that are available.				
21 Management spend	Overspending	Fail to manage finances	That management spending is not contained within the available budget, and / or that expected levels of performance are not achieved	Mark McEgan	01/12/11	17/08/16	Moderate	Possible	9	The arrangements are now well understood and the delivery of services on the ground are stable and well understood. There is a danger that, in meeting general fund savings, pressure to charge costs to the HRA will grow &/or that as working becomes less traditionally service specific the boundaries between what should and should not be charged to the HRA is more difficult to ascertain and enforce.				

	No plans/not started or actions not working. Still at Significant Risk
•	Plans behind schedule. Still at Some Risk
>	Plans on track. Risk being controlled

Risk Register



Service/Project/Area: HRA Financi							ancial Ris	sks - 2017 / 2018 onwards						
sk Ref Risk name o.	Budget Risk category	HCC strategic risk cat.	Risk Description	Risk Owner	Date Identified	Date Last Review	Impact (I)	Likelihood (L)	Risk Score (1 25) (I x L)	Existing Controls	Additional Treatment Actions	Date Action Due	Action Status (R , A or	Action Owner
22 Recharges	Overspending	Fail to manage finances	That recharges to the HRA are unreasonable or the basis of recharge is inappropriate.	Steve Shirra	13/12/11	17/08/16	Moderate	Possible	9	There are SLAs in place for nearly all recharges with regular reviews undertaken during the year. The recharge and allocation of costs between the HRA and General Fund must be undertaken in such a way that the HRA only pays for costs associated with the provision and maintenance of HRA housing and costs must be fairly allocated between the two. In an environment of challenging savings required across Council budgets the temptation to increase charges must be avoided and the long term assessmen of any charges to the HRA appropriately considered.	Tenants will continue to exercise challenge and scrutiny over the HRA budgets.		G)	
23 Interest rates	Others	Fail to manage finances	That the costs of borrowing (i.e. interest rates) increases. Rates are historically very low and have recently fallen further. Under the Housing Subsidy system we were partially protected from this risk by central Government but under Self Financing we are fully exposed to inflation risks. Under the Housing Subsidy system we were protected from this risk by central Government but under Self Financing we are fully exposed to interest	Mike Armstrong / Graeme Smith	01/12/11	17/08/16	Moderate	Possible	9	This is not an area we can directly control, but through treasury management we attempt to mitigate the short to medium term impact through constructing an appropriate loan portfolio with a mixture of loans that mature over different timeframes and by repaying debt when appropriate.				
24 Inflation	Others	Fail to manage finances	rate riske That the level of inflation increases. Whilst rates are historically very low there has been a recent trend of rates marginally increasing and forecasters have - particularly after the EU Referendum result - bene indicating likely increases in inflation. Under the Housing Subsidy system we were partially protected from this risk by central Government but under Self Financing we are fully exposed to inflation risks.	Bank of England	01/12/11	17/08/16	Moderate	Possible	9	This is counterbalanced by the corresponding increase in rental income once rents revert to an increase based on CPI.				
25 Accounting Standards	Others	Fail to manage finances	There are presently two potential technical changes that could impact on the HRA. IFRS 15 relates to revenue recognition. Mazars believe this standard will have little impact on the General Fund/HRA position. IFRS 9 replaces IAS 39 (Financial Instruments). Main impact is the method used for impairing financial instruments. This is will involve applying a new impairment model to each individual instrument which the Council holds. Mazars believe the impact on the accounts will be minimal.	Mike Armstrong / Graeme Smith	01/12/11	17/08/16	Minor	Unlikely	4					

	No plans/not started or actions not working. Still at Significant Risk
•	Plans behind schedule. Still at Some Risk
~	Plans on track. Risk being controlled

Risk Register



	Service/Project/Area:					HRA Fina	sks - 2017 / 2018 onwards						
Risk Ref Risk name No.	Budget Risk category	HCC strategic risk cat.	Risk Description	Risk Owner	Date Identified	Date Last Impact (I) Review	Likelihood (L)	Risk Score (1 25) (I x L)	e Existing Controls	Additional Treatment Actions	Date Action Due	Action Status (R, A or	Action Owner
26 Brexit	Others	Fail to manage finances	BREXIT There are a wide range of potential impacts upon the housing service directly as a consequence of Brexit, and how negotiations may or may not pan out over the coming months and years. Many of these are extremely difficult to quantify at a local level, if not impossible. Whilst they are – in effect – known unknowns they could have material impacts upon the following areas: • The overall state of the economy could see reduced activity with consequent higher unemployment and thus reliance on housing benefit/ universal credit across our tenant base • Resultant lower Government tax receipts might result with either an additional focus on restraining the Housing Benefit Budget or a direct sequestration of assets (perhaps through a higher SHVP levy than would otherwise have been the case) • Government may choose to restrain overall public sector borrowing with reductions in our debt cap • Interest rates could change over time, with delayed benefit for us if they declined as many of our loans are fixed • Inflationary impacts – especially in relation to a falling pound – could be significant, particularly around capital projects and raw materials. There could be a shortage of construction labour in the more medium term forcing up the costs of capital works. • House prices could reduce with the need to increase property sales to raise the SHVP levy but at the same time could make RTB even more financially attractive to those tenants with stable incomes and access to mortgage finance/savings. A number of these risks are mirrored in the individual risk lines above but Brexit has the potential to exacerbate these materially over the medium term.		17/08/16	17/08/16 Catastrophic	Unlikely	10	This is not within the direct control of the Authority and there is nothing we can do to influence events at this level.	None.			

in assessing the impacts the following ranges of financial outcomes have been assumed:

	<u>annual impact</u>	business plan
		impact
Insignificant	<£1m	<£10m
Minor	£1m to £2m	£10m to £20m
Moderate	£2m to £5m	£20m to £50m
Major	£5m to £10m	£50m to £100m
Catastrophic	>£10m	>£100m

	No plans/not started or actions not working. Still at Significant Risk
•	Plans behind schedule. Still at Some Risk
>	Plans on track. Risk being controlled